arXiv:1605.09487v1 [math.NT] 31 May 2016

HYBRID SUBCONVEXITY BOUNDS FOR TWISTED L-FUNCTIONS ON $GL(3)$

BINGRONG HUANG

Abstract. Let q be a large prime, and χ the quadratic character modulo q. Let ϕ be a self-dual Hecke–Maass cusp form for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, and u_j a Hecke–Maass cusp form for $\Gamma_0(q) \subseteq SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with spectral parameter t_j. We prove the hybrid subconvexity bounds for the twisted L-functions

$$L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi) \ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} (qt_j)^{3/2-\theta+\varepsilon}, \quad L(1/2+it, \phi \times \chi) \ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} (qt)^{3/4-\theta/2+\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\theta = 1/23$ is admissible.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. Initial setup of Theorem 1.2 9
4. Analytic separation of variables 11
5. Applying the large sieve 15
6. Proof of Theorem 1.2 16
7. Initial setup of Theorem 1.3 24
8. Integral transforms and special functions 25
9. Contribution from the error terms 29
10. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1 31
References 37

1. Introduction

Bounding L-functions on their critical lines is one of the central problems in analytic number theory. For $GL(1)$ L-functions, subconvexity bounds are due to Weyl [29] in the t-aspect, and Burgess [3] in the q-aspect. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L-functions are given by Heath-Brown [9, 10]. For $GL(2)$ L-functions, in the weight aspect, this was achieved in Peng [27]. In the conductor aspect, Conrey–Iwaniec [4] used the cubic moment to give a strong subconvexity bound. And recently, Young [30] generalized their method to obtain a Weyl-type hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted L-functions. In the level aspect, this was first given by Duke–Friedlander–Iwaniec [5]. Subconvexity bounds for Rankin–Selberg L-functions on $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ were known due to Sarnak [28], Kowalski–Michel–Vanderkam [14], and Lau–Liu–Ye [16], etc. Now for L-functions on $GL(1)$ and $GL(2)$, this was solved completely, due to the work of Michel–Venkatash [20] and many other important contributions on the way. For $GL(3)$ L-functions, Li [18] gave the first subconvexity bound in the t-aspect for self-dual forms. Recently, Mckee–Sun–Ye [19] improved Li's results. Blomer [1] considered the conductor aspect for twisted L-functions on $GL(3)$. On the other hand, in a series of papers [24, 25, 26], Munshi used the circle method and $GL(3)$ Voronoi formula to give the subconvexity bounds. So far, there are mainly two methods to solve the subconvexity problem for $GL(3)$ L-functions: the moment method and the circle method. They work in different situations.

In this paper, we consider certain types of twisted L-functions of degree 3 and 6 in both q and t aspects. More precisely, let q be a large prime, and χ the primitive quadratic character modulo q. Let u_j be an even Hecke–Maass cusp newform with spectral parameter t_j of level $q'|q$. We denote the Hecke eigenvalues by $\lambda_j(n)$. Let ϕ be a self-dual Hecke–Maass form of type (ν,ν) for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, with

Date: June 1, 2016.
Key words and phrases. L-functions, subconvexity, twisted, quadratic character.

Fourier coefficients $A(m,n)=A(n,m)$, normalized so that the first Fourier coefficient $A(1,1)=1$. We define the L-function

$$L(s,\phi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(1,n)}{n^s}, \tag{1.1}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>1$. The twisted L-functions

$$L(s,\phi\times\chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(1,n)\chi(n)}{n^s} \tag{1.2}$$

is defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>1$, and can be continued to an entire function with a functional equation of conductor q^3. Similarly, we define the Rankin–Selberg L-function

$$L(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)=\sum_{m\geq 1}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{A(m,n)\lambda_j(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^s}, \tag{1.3}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>1$, and can be continued to an entire function with conductor q^6.

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *With notation as above, we have*

$$L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}(qt_j)^{3/2-\theta+\varepsilon},$$

and

$$L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}(qt)^{3/4-\theta/2+\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, where $\theta=(35-\sqrt{1057})/56$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will use two different methods to show the following two theorems. And with some modifications, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the end of §10.

Theorem 1.2. *With notation as above, we have*

$$L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}q^{5/4+\varepsilon}t_j^{3/2+\varepsilon},$$

and

$$L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}q^{5/8+\varepsilon}t^{3/4+\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$.

and

Theorem 1.3. *With notation as above, we have*

$$L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}q^{4+\varepsilon}t_j^{4/3+\varepsilon},$$

and

$$L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}q^{2+\varepsilon}t^{2/3+\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Remark 1. Theorem 1.1 gives the first hybrid subconvexity bound for $GL(3)$ L-functions. Note that the convexity bound for $L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)$ is $(qt_j)^{3/2+\varepsilon}$, and for $L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)$ is $(qt)^{3/4+\varepsilon}$. Theorem 1.2 is crucial, which is a generalization of Blomer's results in [1], since the bounds there are subconvexity in the q-aspect and convexity in the t-aspect. So any bound which is subconvexity in term of t and of polynomial growth in term of q is sufficient to get a hybrid subconvexity bound by combining with Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 is a generalization of Li's results in [18] and Mckee–Sun–Ye's improvements in [19].

Remark 2. Let f be a weight $2k$ holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma_0(q)$. One may prove

$$L(1/2,\phi\times f\times\chi)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}(qk)^{3/2-\theta+\varepsilon}. \tag{1.4}$$

The proof of the above result is similar to Theorem 1.1, see Li [18, Appendix] for example. One can also think about the hybrid subconvexity bounds for $GL(3)$ L-functions in other cases, such as Munshi [22, 23, 26]. We will get into these topics elsewhere.

We end the introduction with a brief outline of the proof of our theorems. In our work, we will assume $q \ll T^B$, for some fixed $B > 0$. Note that Blomer's method showed an upper bound of the form $T^A q^{5/4+\varepsilon}$. To prove our theorems, the basic idea is similar to Li [18] and Blomer [1]. We consider the average of $L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi)$ over the spectrum of the Laplacian on $\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}$, see Proposition 3.1 and 7.1 below. And then our results follow from a theorem of Lapid [15], which shows that $L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi)$ is always a non-negative real number. (We can drop all but one term to obtain an individual bound; similarly for $L(1/2 + it, \phi \times \chi)$.) To prove Proposition 3.1, which is strong in q-aspect, after applying the approximate functional equations for the Rankin–Selberg L-functions, the $GL(2)$ Kuznetsov formula, and the $GL(3)$ Voronoi formula, we are led to bound $\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; \delta)$, see (3.11). To estimate $\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; \delta)$, we will use the hybrid large sieve inequality and many results in Conrey–Iwaniec [4], Blomer [1], and Young [30]. This is inspired by Young [30]. However, this will not give us subconvexity bounds in both q and t aspects. In order to prove results as in Theorem 1.1, we still need to handle the case q is much smaller than t. That is, we will need a result as in Theorem 1.3, which is strong in the t-aspect and will follow from Proposition 7.1. Now, to prove Proposition 7.1, it turns out that Li's method is still working. The key point here is that we can have a second application of the Voronoi formula. To get a better bound, we will also use an nth-order asymptotic expansion of a weighted stationary phase integral as Mckee–Sun–Ye [19] did. Throughout the paper, $e(x)$ means $e^{2\pi ix}$, negligible means $O(T^{-A})$ for any $A > 0$, and ε is an arbitrarily small positive number which may not be the same in each occurrence.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notation and recall some standard facts of automorphic forms on $GL(2)$ and $GL(3)$.

2.1. **Automorphic forms.** We start by reviewing automorphic forms for $\Gamma_0(q)$. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the upper half-plane. Let $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ denote the space of automouphic functions of weight zero, i.e., the functions $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ which are $\Gamma_0(q)$-periodic. Let $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ denote the subspace of square-integrable functions with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu z, \tag{2.1}$$

where $d\mu z = y^{-2}dxdy$ is the invariant measure on $\mathbb{H}$. The Laplace operator

$$\Delta = -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

acts in the dense subspace of smooth functions in $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ such that f and Δf are both bounded; it has a self-adjoint extension which yields the spectral decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}).$$

Here $\mathbb{C}$ is the space of constant functions, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ is the space of cusp forms and $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ is the space of Eisenstein series.

We choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}(q)$ of even Hecke–Maass forms of level q as follows: for each even newform u_j of level $q'|q$ we choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{V}(u_j)$ of the space generated by $\{u_j(dz) : d|(q/q')\}$ containing $u_j/\|u_j\|$, and let $\mathcal{B}(q)$ be the union of all $\mathcal{V}(u_j)$ for u_j ranging over the newforms of level dividing q. Let $\mathcal{B}^*(q)$ be the subset of all newforms in $\mathcal{B}(q)$. Each $u_j \in \mathcal{B}(q)$ with spectral parameter t_j has a Fourier expansion

$$u_j(z) = \sum_{n\neq 0} \rho_j(n) W_{s_j}(nz),$$

where $W_s(z)$ is the $GL(2)$ Whittaker function given by

$$W_s(z) := 2|y|^{1/2} K_{s-1/2}(2\pi|y|)e(x),$$

and $K_s(y)$ is the K-Bessel function with $s = 1/2 + it$. We have the Hecke operators acting on u_j with

$$(T_n u_j)(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{ad=n} \sum_{b (\mathrm{mod}\ d)} u_j\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) = \lambda_j(n) u_j(z), \tag{2.3}$$

for all n with $(n, q) = 1$. We have

$$\rho_j(\pm n) = \rho_j(\pm 1)\lambda_j(n) n^{-1/2},$$

if $n > 0$. Moreover, the reflection operator R defined by $(Ru_j)(z) = u_j(-\bar{z})$ commutes with Δ and all T_n, so that we can also require

$$Ru_j = \epsilon_j u_j.$$

Since R is an involution, the space $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H})$ is split into even and odd cusp forms according to $\epsilon_j = 1$ and $\epsilon_j = -1$. We define

$$\omega_j := \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_j)} |\rho_j(1)|^2. \tag{2.4}$$

By [12, Theorem 2], we have

$$\omega_j^* := \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_j)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{V}(u_j)} |\rho_f(1)|^2 \gg q^{-1}(qt_j)^{-\varepsilon}. \tag{2.5}$$

The Eisenstein series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ is defined by

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_0(q)} \mathrm{Im}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z)^s.$$

It has the following Fourier expansion

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) = \delta_{\mathfrak{a}} y^s + \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}}(s) y^{1-s} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}}(n, s) W_s(nz),$$

where $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}} = 1$ if $\mathfrak{a} \sim \infty$, or $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ otherwise. Let

$$\eta(n, s) = \sum_{ad=|n|} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^{s-1/2}. \tag{2.6}$$

The Eisenstein series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ is even, and we have

$$T_n E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) = \eta(n, s) E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s),$$

if $(n, q) = 1$. Write $\eta_t(n) = \eta(n, 1/2 + it)$ and $E_{\mathfrak{a},t}(z) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, 1/2 + it)$. We define

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) := \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)} |\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}}(1, 1/2 + it)|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

And, by [4, p. 1188], we have

$$\omega^*(t) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \omega_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) \gg q^{-1-\varepsilon} \min(|t|^{-\varepsilon}, |t|^2). \tag{2.8}$$

Now we recall some background on Maass forms for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. We will follow the notation in Goldfeld's book [7]. Let ϕ be a Maass form of type (ν_1, ν_2). We have the following Fourier–Whittaker expansion

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in U_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\Gamma_0(q)} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2 \neq 0} \frac{A(m_1, m_2)}{m_1|m_2|} W_J\left(M \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} z, \nu_1, \nu_2, \psi_{1,1}\right), \tag{2.9}$$

where $U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of 2×2 upper triangular matrices with integer entries and one on the diagonal, $W_J(z, \nu_1, \nu_2, \psi_{1,1})$ is the Jacquet–Whittaker function, and $M = \mathrm{diag}(m_1|m_2|, m_1, 1)$. From now on, let ϕ be a self-dual Hecke–Maass form of type (ν, ν) for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, normalized to have the first Fourier coefficient $A(1, 1) = 1$. For later purposes, we record the Hecke relation

$$A(m, n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \mu(d) A\left(\frac{m}{d}, 1\right) A\left(1, \frac{n}{d}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, the Rankin–Selberg theory implies the bound

$$\sum_{n\ll x} |A(1,n)|^2 \ll x, \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \geq 1$. We will also need the following estimate (see Blomer [1, Eq. (10) and (11)])

$$\sum_{n\leq x} |A(na,b)|^2 \ll x(ab)^{7/16+\varepsilon}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n\leq x} |A(na,b)| \ll x(ab)^{7/32+\varepsilon}. \tag{2.12}$$

2.2. **L-functions and the approximate functional equations.** The L-function attached to ϕ is $L(s,\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(1,n)n^{-s}$, and the completed L-function is given by

$$\Lambda(s,\phi) = \pi^{-3s/2} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\alpha_j}{2}\right) L(s,\phi).$$

where $\alpha_1 = 3\nu - 1$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, and $\alpha_3 = 1 - 3\nu$. The L-function attached to the twist $\phi \times \chi$ is

$$L(s,\phi\times\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(1,n)\chi(n)}{n^s},$$

whose completed version is

$$\Lambda(s,\phi\times\chi) = q^{3s/2} L_\infty(s,\phi\times\chi) L(s,\phi\times\chi),$$

where

$$L_\infty(s,\phi\times\chi) = \pi^{-3s/2} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\delta-\alpha_j}{2}\right),$$

with $\delta = 0$ or 1 according to whether $\chi(-1) = 1$ or -1. Then $\Lambda(s,\phi\times\chi)$ is entire, and its functional equation is

$$\Lambda(s,\phi\times\chi) = \Lambda(1-s,\phi\times\chi).$$

Note that the root number of $\Lambda(s,\phi\times\chi)$ is 1.

Next we consider the Rankin–Selberg convolution of ϕ with $u_j \times \chi$ given by

$$L(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(m,n)\lambda_j(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^s}.$$

The completed version of $L(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)$ is

$$\Lambda(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) = q^{3s} L_\infty(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) L(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi),$$

where

$$L_\infty(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) = \pi^{-3s} \prod_{\pm} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\delta\pm it_j-\alpha_j}{2}\right).$$

The function $\Lambda(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)$ is entire, and its functional equation is

$$\Lambda(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) = \Lambda(1-s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi).$$

Note that again the root number is 1. Finally, we consider the convolution with the Eisenstein series which is defined as

$$L(s,\phi\times E_{\mathfrak{a},t}\times\chi) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(m,n)\eta_t(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^s}.$$

By the definition of $\eta_t(n)$ (2.6), by comparing the Euler products, we know that

$$L(s,\phi\times E_{\mathfrak{a},t}\times\chi) = L(s+it,\phi\times\chi)L(s-it,\phi\times\chi).$$

Now we consider the approximate functional equations for $L(s,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)$ and $L(s,\phi\times E_{\mathfrak{a},t}\times\chi)$. We use the results from Blomer [1, §2]. Let

$$G(u) = e^{u^2}. \tag{2.13}$$

We have the following approximate functional equations, (see [13, Theorem 5.3]).

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)=2\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(m,n)\lambda_j(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2}}V_{t_j}\left(\frac{m^2n}{q^3}\right), \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$V_t(y)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(3)}(\pi^3y)^{-u}\prod_{\pm}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+u+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}G(u)\frac{du}{u}.$$

And similarly, we have

$$L(1/2,\phi\times E_{\mathfrak{a},t}\times\chi)=2\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(m,n)\eta_t(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2}}V_t\left(\frac{m^2n}{q^3}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

We see that $V_t(y)$ has the following properties which effectively limit the terms in (2.14) and (2.15) with $m^2n\ll(q(1+|t_j|))^{3+\varepsilon}$ and $(q(1+|t|))^{3+\varepsilon}$ respectively. Note that we can separate the variables t and y in $V_t(y)$ by the second part of the following lemma. Moreover, we see the u-integral can be easily handled now. In our later application, we will take $U=\log^2(qT)$.

Lemma 2.2. (i) *We have*

$$y^kV_t^{(k)}(y)\ll\left(1+\frac{y}{(1+|t|)^3}\right)^{-A},$$

and

$$y^kV_t^{(k)}(y)=\delta_k+O\left(\left(\frac{y}{(1+|t|)^3}\right)^{\alpha}\right),$$

where $\delta_0=1$, $\delta_k=0$ if $k>0$, and $0<\alpha\le(1/2-|\operatorname{Re}(3\nu-1)|)/3$ (for example, we can take $\alpha=3/32$).

(ii) *For any $1<U\ll T^{\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon>0$, and $|t-T|\ll T^{1-2\varepsilon}$, we have the following approximation*

$$V_t(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{K/2}\sum_{l=0}^{K}t^{-2k}\left(\frac{t^2-T^2}{T^2}\right)^l V_{k,l}\left(\frac{y}{T^3}\right)+O\left(y^{-\varepsilon}(1+|T|)^{\varepsilon}e^{-U}\right)$$
$$+O\left(\left(\frac{1+|t-T|}{T}\right)^{K+1}\left(1+\frac{y}{T^3}\right)^{-A}\right),$$

where

$$V_{k,l}(y)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\varepsilon-iU}^{\varepsilon+iU}P_{k,l}(u)(2\pi)^{-3u}y^{-u}G(u)\frac{du}{u}, \tag{2.16}$$

for some polynomial $P_{k,l}$.

Proof. (i) See Iwaniec–Kowalski [13, Proposition 5.4].

(ii) By Stirling's formula and contour shifts as in Iwaniec–Kowalski [13, p. 100], we have (see Blomer [1, Lemma 1])

$$V_t(y)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\varepsilon-iU}^{\varepsilon+iU}\pi^{-3u}\prod_{\pm}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+u+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}y^{-u}G(u)\frac{du}{u}+O\left(y^{-\varepsilon}(1+|t|)^{\varepsilon}e^{-U}\right).$$

The rest of the proof is following very closely to Young [30, §5]. At first, by Stirling's formula, if $|\operatorname{Im}(z)|\to\infty$ (with fixed real part), but $|u|\ll|z|^{1/2}$, then

$$\frac{\Gamma(z+u)}{\Gamma(z)}=z^u\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{P_k(u)}{z^k}+O\left(\frac{(1+|u|)^{2K+2}}{|z|^{K+1}}\right)\right),$$

for certain polynomials $P_k(u)$ of degree $2k$. So for $|\operatorname{Im}(u)|\ll U$, and $t\asymp T$, we have that

$$\prod_{\pm}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+u+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\delta\pm it-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}=\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{3u}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{K/2}\frac{P_{2k}(u)}{t^{2k}}+O\left(\frac{(1+|u|)^{2K+2}}{t^{K+1}}\right)\right),$$

for a different collection of $P_k(u)$. Note that, in fact, the factor $(t/2)^{3u}$ is $\left((t/2)^2\right)^{3u/2}$, which is even as a function of t. For convenience, set $P_0(u)=1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} V_t(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{K/2} t^{-2k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varepsilon-iU}^{\varepsilon+iU} P_{2k}(u) \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{3u} y^{-u} G(u) \frac{du}{u} \\ + O\left(t^{-K-1}\left(1+\frac{y}{t^3}\right)^{-A}\right) + O\left(y^{-\varepsilon}(1+|t|)^{\varepsilon} e^{-U}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where the extra factor $\left(1+\frac{y}{t^3}\right)^{-A}$ arises from moving the contour to $\mathrm{Re}(u)=A$ if $y \geq t^3$, and to $\mathrm{Re}(u)=-1/4$ if $y \leq t^3$ (here we use the fact $|\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_j)| \leq 7/32$). We further refine (2.17) by approximating t by T. Since in our application $h(t)$ is very small unless $|t-T| \ll M \log^2 T$, where $M \ll T^{1/2}$ and T large, our assumption $|t-T| \ll T^{1-2\varepsilon}$ is flexible enough. Note that $\left|u\frac{t^2-T^2}{T^2}\right| \ll \left|u\frac{t-T}{T}\right| \ll T^{-\varepsilon}$. By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{3u} = T^{3u} e^{\frac{3u}{2}\log(1+\frac{t^2-T^2}{T^2})} = T^{3u} \sum_{l=0}^{K} Q_l(u) \left(\frac{t^2-T^2}{T^2}\right)^l \\ + O\left((1+|u|)^{K+1}\left(\frac{|t-T|}{T}\right)^{K+1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for certain polynomial $Q_l(u)$ of degree $\leq l$. So, by (2.17) and (2.18), we prove this lemma. □

2.3. **The Kuznetsov formula for $\Gamma_0(q)$.** The two central tools we need in this paper are the Kuznetsov formula for $\Gamma_0(q)$ and the Voronoi formula for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$. In this subsection, we recall the Kuznetsov formula, and then in the next subsection, we will review the Voronoi formula. As usual, let

$$S(a,b;c) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{d(c)} e\left(\frac{ad+b\bar{d}}{c}\right)$$

be the classical Kloosterman sum. For any $m,n \geq 1$, and any test function $h(t)$ which is even and satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $h(t)$ is holomorphic in $|\mathrm{Im}(t)| \leq 1/2+\varepsilon$,
(ii) $h(t) \ll (1+|t|)^{-2-\varepsilon}$ in the above strip,

we have the following Kuznetsov formula (see [4, Eq. (3.17)] for example).

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j{}' h(t_j)\omega_j^* \lambda_j(m)\lambda_j(n) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)\omega^*(t)\eta_t(m)\eta_t(n)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_{m,n}H + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q|c}\frac{1}{c}\sum_{\pm} S(n,\pm m;c) H^{\pm}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum'$ restricts to the even Hecke–Maass cusp forms, $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker symbol,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\infty} h(t)\tanh(\pi t) t dt, \\ H^+(x) &= 2i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2it}(x)\frac{h(t)t}{\cosh(\pi t)}dt, \\ H^-(x) &= \frac{4}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{2it}(x)\sinh(\pi t)h(t)t dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

and $J_\nu(x)$ and $K_\nu(x)$ are the standard J-Bessel function and K-Bessel function respectively.

2.4. **The Voronoi formula for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$.** Let ψ be a smooth compactly supported function on $(0,\infty)$, and let $\tilde{\psi}(s) := \int_0^\infty \psi(x)x^s\frac{dx}{x}$ be its Mellin transform. For $\sigma > 7/32$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{\pm}(x) := x\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}(\pi^3 x)^{-s}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}\tilde{\psi}(1-s)ds \\ \pm\frac{x}{i}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\sigma)}(\pi^3 x)^{-s}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s+\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2-s-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}\tilde{\psi}(1-s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Here α_j has the same meaning as above, that is, $\alpha_1 = 3\nu - 1, \alpha_2 = 0$, and $\alpha_3 = 1 - 3\nu$. Note that changing $\psi(y)$ to $\psi(y/N)$ for a positive real number N has the effect of changing $\Psi^{\pm}(x)$ to $\Psi^{\pm}(xN)$. The Voronoi formula on $GL(3)$ was first proved by Miller and Schmid [21]. The present version is due to Goldfeld and Li [8] with slightly renormalized variables (see Blomer [1, Lemma 3]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $c, d, \bar{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c \neq 0$, $(c,d) = 1$, and $d\bar{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}A(m,n)e\left(\frac{n\bar{d}}{c}\right)\psi(n)\\ &= \frac{c\pi^{3/2}}{2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{n_1|cm}\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_1n_2}S\left(md,\pm n_2;\frac{mc}{n_1}\right)\Psi^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c^3m}\right).\end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 1.2 by applying Lemma 2.4, we need to know the asymptotic behaviour of $\Psi^{\pm}$. This will be done in §4. Our work differs from Blomer [1] in the nature of the weight function $\Psi^{\pm}$. We will use the method of Young [30]. See Young [30, §8] for a more detail discussion of this method. However, to prove Theorem 1.3, we will need the following asymptotic formula for $\Psi^{\pm}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $\psi(y)$ is a smooth function, compactly supported on $[N, 2N]$. Let $\Psi^{\pm}(x)$ be defined as in (2.20). Then for any fixed integer $K \geq 1$, and $xN \gg 1$, we have*

$$\Psi^{\pm}(x) = x\int_0^{\infty}\psi(y)\sum_{\ell=1}^{K}\frac{\gamma_\ell}{(xy)^{\ell/3}}e\left(\pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right)dy + O\left((xN)^{1-K/3}\right),$$

where γ_ℓ are constants depending only on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, and K.

Proof. See Li [17, Lemma 6.1] and Blomer [1, Lemma 6]. □

2.5. **The stationary phase lemma.** In this subsection, we will recall a result in Mckee–Sun–Ye [19], which will be used to prove Theorem 1.3. Let $f(x)$ be a real function, $2n+3$ times continuously differentiable for $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$. Suppose that $f'(x)$ changes signs only at $x = \gamma$, from negative to positive, with $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$. Let $g(x)$ be a real function, $2n+1$ times continuously differentiable for $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$. Denote

$$H_1(x) := \frac{g(x)}{2\pi i f'(x)}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(x) := -\frac{H_{i-1}'(x)}{2\pi i f'(x)}. \tag{2.21}$$

Let

$$\lambda_k := \frac{f^{(k)}(\gamma)}{k!}, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, \ldots, 2n+2, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\eta_k := \frac{g^{(k)}(\gamma)}{k!}, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, \ldots, 2n, \tag{2.23}$$

and ϖ_k be defined by the Taylor expansion of $g(x)\frac{dx}{dy}$, where $y = h(x-\gamma)$ with $f(x) - f(\gamma) = \lambda_2 h^2(x-\gamma)$ such that $y = h(x-\gamma)$ has the same sign as that of $x - \gamma$. By [19, Lemma 3.4] we have

$$\varpi_k = \eta_k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1}\eta_\ell\sum_{j=1}^{k-\ell}\frac{C_{k\ell j}}{\lambda_2^j}\sum_{\substack{3\leq n_1,\ldots,n_j\leq 2n+3\\ n_1+\cdots+n_j=k-\ell+2j}}\lambda_{n_1}\cdots\lambda_{n_j}, \tag{2.24}$$

for some constant coefficients $C_{k\ell j}$. See [19, §2 and §3] for more details.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f(x)$, $g(x)$, and $H_k(x)$ be defined as above. Suppose that there are positive parameters M_0, N_0, T_0, U_0, with*

$$M_0 > \beta - \alpha, \tag{2.25}$$

and positive constants C_r such that for $\alpha \le x \le \beta$,

$$|f^{(r)}(x)| \le C_r \frac{T_0}{M_0^r}, \quad \text{for} \quad r = 2, 3, ..., 2n+3, \tag{2.26}$$

$$f''(x) \ge \frac{T_0}{C_2 M_0^2}, \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$|g^{(s)}(x)| \le C_s \frac{U_0}{N_0^s}, \quad \text{for} \quad s = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n+1. \tag{2.28}$$

If T_0 is sufficiently large comparing to the constants C_r, we have for $n \ge 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\alpha^\beta g(x) e(f(x)) dx \\
&= \frac{e\Big(f(\gamma) + \frac{1}{8}\Big)}{\sqrt{f''(\gamma)}} \Big(g(\gamma) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{2j} \frac{(-1)^j (2j-1)!!}{(4\pi i \lambda_2)^j} \Big) + \Big[e(f(x)) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} H_i(x) \Big]_\alpha^\beta \\
&+ O\left(\frac{U_0 M_0^{2n+5}}{N_0 T_0^{n+2}} \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} \Big(\frac{1}{(\gamma-\alpha)^{n+2+j}} + \frac{1}{(\beta-\gamma)^{n+2+j}} \Big) \sum_{t=j}^{n+1-j} \frac{1}{N_0^{n+1-j-t} M_0^t} \right) \\
&+ O\left(\frac{U_0 M_0^{2n+4}}{T_0^{n+2} N_0^{n+1}} \Big(\frac{M_0}{N_0} + 1 \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{(\gamma-\alpha)^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{(\beta-\gamma)^{n+2}} \Big) \right) \\
&+ O\left(\frac{U_0 M_0^{2n+4}}{T_0^{n+2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Big(\frac{1}{(\gamma-\alpha)^{n+2+j}} + \frac{1}{(\beta-\gamma)^{n+2+j}} \Big) \sum_{t=0}^{n+1-j} \frac{1}{N_0^{n+1-j-t} M_0^t} \right) \\
&+ O\left(\frac{U_0}{T_0^{n+1}} \Big(\frac{M_0^{2n+2}}{N_0^{2n+1}} + M_0 \Big) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. See Mckee–Sun–Ye [19, Theorem 3.6]. □

3. Initial setup of Theorem 1.2

We are now ready to start with the proof of Theorem 1.2. As indicated in the introduction, both results follow rather easily from the following bound.

Proposition 3.1. *With notation as above, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, T large, and $M \asymp T^{1/2}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{u_j \in \mathcal{B}^*(q) \\ T-M \le t_j \le T+M}} L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T-M}^{T+M} |L(1/2+it, \phi \times \chi)|^2 dt \ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} q^{5/4} T M (qT)^\varepsilon.$$

Theorem 1.2 is followed from the above proposition. The key ingredient is Lapid's theorem [15] about the nonnegativity of $L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi)$. See Blomer [1, §4] for more details.

To prove Proposition 3.1, we introduce the spectrally normalized first moment of the central values of L-functions

$$\mathcal{M} := \sum_{u_j \in \mathcal{B}^*(q)} h(t_j) \omega_j^* L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \omega^*(t) |L(1/2+it, \phi \times \chi)|^2 dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$h(t) := \frac{1}{\cosh\big(\frac{t-T}{M}\big)} + \frac{1}{\cosh\big(\frac{t+T}{M}\big)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here we choose the above weight because we can use Young's results [30] directly. However, maybe the following Li's weight function

$$h(t)=e^{-\frac{(t-T)^2}{M^2}}+e^{-\frac{(t+T)^2}{M^2}}$$

will work too. By (2.5) and (2.8), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u_j\in\mathcal{B}^*(q)\\ T-M\leq t_j\leq T+M}} L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi)+\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{T-M}^{T+M}|L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)|^2dt\ll\mathcal{M}q^{1+\varepsilon}T^{\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, to prove Proposition 3.1, we just need to prove

$$\mathcal{M}\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} q^{1/4}TM(qT)^{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to $\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}=2\sum_{u_j\in\mathcal{B}^*(q)}h(t_j)\omega_j^*\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(m,n)\lambda_j(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2}}V_{t_j}\left(\frac{m^2n}{q^3}\right)\\ +2\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}h(t)\omega^*(t)\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(m,n)\eta_t(n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2}}V_t\left(\frac{m^2n}{q^3}\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can truncate the m,n-sums at

$$m^2n\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}$$

at the cost of a negligible error. Now we handle the weight $V_t(y)$. By Lemma 2.2 (we choose $U=\log^2(qT)$), to prove (3.3), we need to prove

$$\begin{aligned}2\sum_{m^2n\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}\frac{A(m,n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2+2u}}\Bigg(\sum_{u_j\in\mathcal{B}^*(q)}h_{k,l}(t_j)\omega_j^*\lambda_j(n)\\ +\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}h_{k,l}(t)\omega^*(t)\eta_t(n)dt\Bigg)\ll_{\phi,\varepsilon}q^{1/4}TM(qT)^{\varepsilon},\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $u\in[\varepsilon-i\log^2(qT),\varepsilon+i\log^2(qT)]$, where

$$h_{k,l}(t)=t^{-2k}T^{-2l}\left(t^2-T^2\right)^l h(t). \tag{3.4}$$

Now we apply the Kuznetsov formula with $m=1$ (note that m has different meaning here), we arrive at bounding

$$\sum_{m^2n\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}\frac{A(m,n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2+u}}\left(\delta_{n,1}H+\sum_{q|c}\frac{1}{c}\sum_{\pm}S(n,\pm1;c)H^{\pm}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{n}}{c}\right)\right),$$

where $H,\ H^{\pm}$ are defined as in (2.19) with $h(t)=h_{k,l}(t)$. We will only deal with the case $k=l=0$, since the others can be handled similarly. By (2.11), and the fact $H\ll TMT^{\varepsilon}$, we know the diagonal term is bounded by

$$\mathcal{D}:=\sum_{m^2n\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}\frac{A(m,n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2+u}}\delta_{n,1}H\ll\sum_{m^2\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}\frac{|A(m,1)|}{m}|H|\ll TM(qT)^{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we need to bound the off-diagonal terms

$$\mathcal{R}^{\pm}:=\sum_{m^2n\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}\frac{A(m,n)\chi(n)}{(m^2n)^{1/2+u}}\sum_{q|c}\frac{1}{c}S(n,\pm1;c)H^{\pm}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{n}}{c}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

By the argument in Blomer [1, §5], it is then enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\sum_{\substack{m^2\delta^3\leq(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}\\ (\delta,q)=1,\ \ |\mu(\delta)|=1}}\frac{|A(1,m)|}{m\delta^{3/2}}|\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}(q,N;\delta)|\ll q^{1/4}TM(qT)^{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.7}$$

for $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; \delta) := \sum_{q|c} \frac{1}{c} \sum_n A(n,1)\chi(n) S(\delta n, \sigma; c) \psi_\sigma\left(\frac{n}{N}; \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{c}\right), \tag{3.8}$$

with

$$\psi_\sigma(y; D) := \begin{cases} w(y) y^{-u} H^+(4\pi\sqrt{y}D), & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ w(y) y^{-u} H^-(4\pi\sqrt{y}D), & \text{if } \sigma = -1, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

w a suitable fixed smooth function with support in $[1, 2]$, and

$$N \leq \frac{(qT)^{3+\varepsilon}}{m^2\delta^3}. \tag{3.10}$$

Here we suppress the dependence on u in $\psi_\sigma(y; D)$. As Blomer [1, §5] did, by the Voronoi formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; \delta) = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2} \sum_\pm \sum_{q|c} \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1 \sum_{n_1|c_1} \sum_{n_2=1}^\infty \frac{A(n_2, n_1)}{n_1 n_2} \\ \times \Psi_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2 N}{c_1^3}; \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{c}\right) \mathcal{T}^{\pm,\sigma}_{\delta, c_1, n_1, n_2}(c, q), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{\pm,\sigma}_{\delta, c_1, n_1, n_2}(c, q) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{b(c_1)} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{d(c)} e\left(\sigma \frac{\bar{d}}{c}\right) \sum_{a(c)} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{-\bar{b}a}{c_1}\right) \\ \times e\left(\frac{\delta d a}{c}\right) \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{f(c_1/n_1)} e\left(\frac{bf \pm n_2 \bar{f}}{c_1/n_1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and $\Psi_\sigma^\pm(x; D)$ is defined as in (2.20) with $\psi(x) = \psi_\sigma(x; D)$.

We end this section by truncating the c-sum. Define

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; C; \delta) = \sum_{\substack{c \asymp C \\ q|c}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_n A(n,1)\chi(n) S(\delta n, \sigma; c) \psi_\sigma\left(\frac{n}{N}; \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{c}\right).$$

Using the weak bound $H^\pm(y) \ll T y^{3/4}$, and the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q, N; C; \delta) \ll T(qT)^{33/8+\varepsilon} C^{-1/4+\varepsilon},$$

which is good enough if C is a large power of qT. Therefore, it suffices to bound $\mathcal{S}$ with $C \ll (qT)^B$ for some large but fixed B.

4. Analytic separation of variables

Our goal in the section is to handle $\Psi_\sigma^\pm(x; D)$. We follow the approach in Young [30]. The following argument will need the stationary phase method. We'll use the following lemma (see [2, Lemma 8.1 and Proposition 8.2]).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that w is a smooth weight function with compact support on $[X, 2X]$, satisfying $w^j(t) \ll X^{-j}$, for $X \gg 1$ (in particular, w is inert with uniformity in X). Also suppose that ϕ is smooth and satisfies $\phi^{(j)}(t) \ll \frac{Y}{X^j}$ for some $Y \gg X^\varepsilon$. Let*

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^\infty w(t) e^{i\phi(t)} dt. \tag{4.1}$$

1. *If $\phi'(t) \gg \frac{Y}{X}$ for all t in the support of w, then $I \ll_A Y^{-A}$ for A arbitrarily large.*
2. *If $\phi''(t) \gg \frac{Y}{X^2}$ for all t in the support of w, and there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi'(t_0) = 0$ (note t_0 is necessarily unique), then*

$$I = \frac{e^{i\phi(t_0)}}{\sqrt{\phi''(t_0)}} F(t_0) + O(Y^{-A}), \tag{4.2}$$

where F is an inert function (depending on A, but uniformly in X and Y) supported on $t_0 \asymp X$.

Here, following Young [30], we say a smooth function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ *inert* if

$$x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} f^{(k_1,\dots,k_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ll 1, \tag{4.3}$$

with an implied constant depending on $k_1, \dots, k_n$ and with the superscript denoting partial differentiation. Now, we recall some results about $H^{\pm}$ from Young [30, §7].

Lemma 4.2. *Let H^+ be given by* (2.19)*. There exists a function g depending on T and M satisfying $g^{(j)}(y) \ll_{j,A} (1+|y|)^{-A}$, so that*

$$H^+(x) = MT \int_{|v| \le \frac{M^\varepsilon}{M}} \cos(x\cosh(v)) e\left(\frac{vT}{\pi}\right) g(Mv) dv + O(T^{-A}). \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, $H^+(x) \ll T^{-A}$ unless $x \gg MT^{1-\varepsilon}$. And if $x \gg MT^{1-\varepsilon}$, then $H^+(x) \ll TMx^{-1/2}$.

Proof. See Young [30, Lemma 7.1]. And the upper bound for H^+ when $x \gg MT^{1-\varepsilon}$ comes from (4.4) and Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let H^- be given by* (2.19)*. There exists a function g depending on T and M satisfying $g^{(j)}(y) \ll_{j,A} (1+|y|)^{-A}$, so that*

$$H^-(x) = MT \int_{|v| \le \frac{M^\varepsilon}{M}} \cos(x\sinh(v)) e\left(\frac{vT}{\pi}\right) g(Mv) dv + O(T^{-A}). \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore, $H^-(x) \ll (x+T)^{-A}$ unless $x \asymp T$. And if $x \asymp T$, then we have $H^-(x) \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}$.

Proof. See Young [30, Lemma 7.2]. And the upper bound for H^- when $x \asymp T$ is an easy consequence of (4.5). □

Define

$$\check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D) := e\left(\mp\sigma\frac{x}{D^2}\right) \Psi_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D), \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\Upsilon(t) = \Upsilon_{X,D}(t) := \int_0^\infty w\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) \check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D) x^{it} \frac{dx}{x}, \tag{4.7}$$

where w is a fixed smooth function supported on $[1/2, 3]$, and with value 1 on $[1, 2]$. Now together with the Mellin technique, we can prove the following lemma, which will help us to separate the variables.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $x \asymp X$ with $X \gg (qT)^{-B}$ for some large but fixed B.*

(i) *We have $\check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D) \ll T^{-A}$ unless*

$$\begin{cases} D \gg TM^{1-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ D \asymp T, & \text{if } \sigma = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

(ii) *When $X \ll T^\varepsilon$, if D satisfies* (4.8)*, then we have*

$$x^k \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} \begin{cases} X^{2/3} TMD^{-1/2} T^\varepsilon, & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ X^{2/3} T^{1+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \sigma = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Note that here the ε on the right hand side may depend on k. Furthermore, if $x \in [X, 2X]$, we have

$$\check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}(x; D) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T^\epsilon}^{T^\epsilon} \Upsilon(t) x^{-it} dt + O(T^{-A}). \tag{4.10}$$

And for $|t| \ll T^\epsilon$, we have

$$\Upsilon(t) \ll \begin{cases} X^{2/3} TMD^{-1/2} T^\varepsilon, & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ X^{2/3} T^{1+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \sigma = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

(iii) *When $X \gg T^{\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\check{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \gamma_{\ell} \frac{x^{5/6}M}{x^{\ell/3}} L(x;D) + O(T^{-A}), \tag{4.12}$$

where L is a function that takes the form

$$L(x;D) = \int_{|t|\ll U} \lambda_{X,T}(t) \left(\frac{x}{D^2}\right)^{it} dt \tag{4.13}$$

with the following parameters. Here $\lambda_{X,T}(t) \ll 1$ does not depend on x and D. If $\sigma = 1$, then $U = T^2/D$; furthermore, L vanishes unless

$$X \asymp D^3, \quad \text{and} \quad D \gg MT^{1-\varepsilon}. \tag{4.14}$$

If $\sigma = -1$, then $U = T^{2/3}X^{1/3}D^{-2/3}$; in addition, L vanishes unless

$$X \ll D^3M^{\varepsilon-3}, \quad \text{and} \quad D \asymp T. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. We first handle the case $X \gg T^{\varepsilon}$. By Blomer [1, Lemma 6], we have

$$\Psi_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D) = x\int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\sigma}(y;D) \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{\gamma_{\ell}}{(xy)^{\ell/3}} e\left(\pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right) dy + O(T^{-A}), \tag{4.16}$$

for some constants γ_{ℓ} depending only on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$. Recall the definition of $\psi_{\sigma}(y;D)$ (3.9). By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we arrive at

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{xMT\gamma_{\ell}}{x^{\ell/3}} \int_{|v|\ll \frac{M^{\varepsilon}}{M}} g(Mv) e\left(\frac{vT}{\pi}\right) \left(\int_0^{\infty} w(y) y^{-u} e\left(2\sqrt{y}D\phi_{\sigma}(v) \pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right) y^{-\ell/3} dy\right) dv,$$

where $\phi_{\sigma}(v) = \pm\cosh(v)$ for $\sigma = 1$, and $\phi_{\sigma}(v) = \pm\sinh(v)$ for $\sigma = -1$.

The y-integral can be analyzed by stationary phase. By Lemma 4.1, we know the above integral is small unless a stationary point exists, which implies

$$|\phi_{\sigma}(v)| = \pm\phi_{\sigma}(v) \asymp X^{1/3}/D. \tag{4.17}$$

In addition, since $|v| \ll M^{\varepsilon}/M$, we have $X \asymp D^3$ if $\sigma = 1$, and $X \ll D^3M^{\varepsilon-3}$ if $\sigma = -1$.

At this point, we can restrict the size of X. Recall that in our application, $D = \sqrt{\delta N}/c$ and N satisfying (3.10), we have $D \ll (qT)^2$. Hence we can assume that $X \ll (qT)^6$. Otherwise, we get $\Psi_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D) \ll T^{-A}$.

Now we consider the range of D to make $\Psi_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D)$ be not negligible. At first, by the above argument, we can restrict ourself to the case $(qT)^{-B} \ll X \ll (qT)^B$. By (2.20) and Parseval's formula, we have

$$\Psi_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D) = x\int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\sigma}(y;D) g^{\pm}(\pi^3 xy) dy, \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$g^{\pm}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} G^{\pm}(s) y^{-s} ds$$

is the inverse Mellin transform of

$$G^{\pm}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\alpha_j}{2}\right)} \pm \frac{1}{i}\prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s+\alpha_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2-s-\alpha_j}{2}\right)}.$$

Now, by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we can assume that $D \gg MT^{1-\varepsilon}$ if $\sigma = 1$, and $D \asymp T$ if $\sigma = -1$. Otherwise, we have $\Psi_{\sigma}^{\pm}(x;D) \ll T^{-A}$. Thus we give the proof of part (i).

Assuming (4.17), the stationary point at $y_0 = x^2(\phi_{\sigma}(v)D)^{-6} \asymp 1$, so, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{\infty} w(y) y^{-u} e\left(2\sqrt{y}D\phi_{\sigma}(v) \pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right) y^{-\ell/3} dy \\ &= x^{-1/6} e\left(\mp\frac{x}{\phi_{\sigma}^2(v)D^2}\right) w_1(v) + O(T^{-A}),\end{aligned}$$

where w_1 is inert in terms of v, and w_1 has support on (4.17). The fact that w_1 is inert in terms of v needs some discussion. We naturally obtain an inert function in terms of $\phi_\sigma(v)$, but since $\phi_\sigma(v)$ has bounded derivatives for $|v| \leq 1$, we do get an inert function of v. Hence, to bound $\Psi_\sigma^\pm(x; D)$, we only need to estimate

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{x^{5/6} M T \gamma_\ell}{x^{\ell/3}} e\left(\mp\sigma \frac{x}{D^2}\right) \Phi_\sigma\left(\frac{x}{D^2}\right), \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\Phi_\sigma(y) = \int_{|v| \ll \frac{M^\varepsilon}{M}} g(Mv) e\left(\frac{vT}{\pi}\right) e\left(\pm y(\sigma - \phi_\sigma^{-2}(v))\right) w_1(v) dv. \tag{4.20}$$

Finally, we shall use the Mellin technique to analyze $\Phi_\sigma(y)$. By the same proof as Young [30, Lemma 8.2] did, for

$$y \asymp Y \asymp X/D^2 \asymp \begin{cases} X^{1/3}, & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ XT^{-2}, & \text{if } \sigma = -1, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\Phi_\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{|t| \ll U} \lambda_{Y,T}(t) y^{it} dt + O(T^{-A}), \tag{4.21}$$

where $\lambda_{Y,T}$ and U depend on Y, T. Precisely, we have $\lambda_{Y,T}(t) \ll 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} U = T^2/Y, & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ U = Y^{1/3} T^{2/3}, & \text{if } \sigma = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.22}$$

(Note that the assumption $Y \gg 1$ in Young [30, Lemma 8.2] is not used. Here we just need $Y/|v_0|^2 \gg T^\varepsilon$, where $|v_0| = x^{1/3}/D$ in the proof. And we can derive this from $Y/|v_0|^2 \asymp X/(D|v_0|)^2 \asymp X^{1/3} \gg T^\varepsilon$.) Note that in either case, we have $U \gg T^\varepsilon$. Now, by (4.17), we have $Y \asymp D$ if $\sigma = 1$. We prove part (iii).

Finally, we deal with the case $X \ll T^\varepsilon$. By Blomer [1, Lemma 7], for D satisfying (4.8), we have

$$x^k \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm(x; D) \ll_k (1 + x^{1/3})^k x^{2/3} \|\psi_\sigma\|_\infty. \tag{4.23}$$

Now, by (3.9) and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we prove the upper bound (4.9). Next, we want to use the Mellin technique to separate the variables. Recall that

$$\Upsilon(t) = \int_0^\infty w\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) \check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm(x; D) x^{it} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Note that for $|t| \gg T^\epsilon$, (taking $\epsilon > 2\varepsilon$), we have $t/x \gg T^\varepsilon$. So using integral by parts many times, for $|t| \gg T^\epsilon$, we have $\Upsilon(t) \ll (tT)^{-A}$. By the Mellin inversion, for $x \in [X, 2X]$, we have

$$\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm(x; D) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Upsilon(t) x^{-it} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T^\epsilon}^{T^\epsilon} \Upsilon(t) x^{-it} dt + O(T^{-A}).$$

And for $|t| \ll T^\epsilon$, the upper bound (4.11) of $\Upsilon(t)$ is a consequence of (4.7) and (4.23). Thus we prove part (ii). This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.4 is good enough to give a nice bound for the terms related the K-Bessel function. However, we don't know how to apply both the large sieve inequalities and a second use of Voronoi formula when we want to bound the terms related to the J-Bessel function. So, on the one hand, in the following sections, we will get a bound without using the Voronoi formula twice. This result is good in q-aspect and not too bad in t-aspect. And then, on the other hand, in §8, we will use another method to deal with the integral transforms that appear on the right hand side of the Voronoi formula. This will be done by following Blomer [1, §3], Li [18, §4], and Mckee–Sun–Ye [19, §6]. By doing this, we will obtain a bound which is good in the t-aspect, and not too bad in the q-aspect. Then combining these two bounds, one can get a hybrid subconvexity bound.

5. Applying the large sieve

Let

$$H(w;q)=\sum_{u,v(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}\chi_q(uv(u+1)(v+1))e_q((uv-1)w). \tag{5.1}$$

By Conrey–Iwaniec [4, Eq. (11.7)], we have

$$H(w;q)=\sum_{q_1q_2=q}\mu(q_1)\chi_{q_1}(-1)H^*(\overline{q_1}w;q_2), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$H^*(w;q)=\sum_{\substack{u,v(\mathrm{mod}\ q)\\(uv-1,q)=1}}\chi_q(uv(u+1)(v+1))e_q((uv-1)w), \tag{5.3}$$

and from [4, Eq. (11.9)], we have

$$H^*(w;q)=\frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\psi(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}\tau(\bar{\psi})g(\chi,\psi)\psi(w), \tag{5.4}$$

where $\tau(\psi)$ is the Gauss sum, and $g(\chi,\psi)\ll q^{1+\varepsilon}$.

We first recall the following hybrid large sieve.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $U\geq 1$, and let a_n be a sequence of complex numbers. Then*

$$\int_{-U}^{U}\sum_{\psi(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}\Big|\sum_{n\leq N}a_n\psi(n)n^{it}\Big|^2dt\ll(qU+N)\sum_{n\leq N}|a_n|^2. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. See Gallagher [6, Theorem 2]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that q is squarefree. Let $a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2\in\mathbb{Z}$, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$, such that $(b_1b_2c,q)=(a_1a_2,c)=1$. Let $N_2,D_2\geq 1$. Suppose $\alpha_d,\beta_n\in\mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_d|\leq 1$ for $1\leq d\leq D_2$, $1\leq n\leq N_2$, and $U\geq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|t|\ll U}\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\asymp N_2,d\asymp D_2\\(nd,qc)=1}}\alpha_d\beta_ne(a_1\overline{a_2}\bar{d}n/c)H(b_1\overline{b_2}\bar{d}n;q)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{it}\Big|dt\\&\ll\frac{q^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{c^{1/2-\varepsilon}}(qcU+D_2)^{1/2}D_2^{1/2}(qcU+N_2)^{1/2}\|\beta\|,\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where as usual $\|\beta\|=\big(\sum|\beta_n|^2\big)^{1/2}$.

This is a variation of [4, Lemma 11.1]. We combine the ingredients in both [1, Lemma 13] and [30, Lemma 9.2].

Proof. By (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|t|\ll U}\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\asymp N_2,d\asymp D_2\\(nd,qc)=1}}\alpha_d\beta_ne(a_1\overline{a_2}\bar{d}n/c)H(b_1\overline{b_2}\bar{d}n;q)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{it}\Big|dt\\&\leq\sum_{q_1q_2=q}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\asymp N_2,d\asymp D_2\\(nd,qc)=1}}\alpha_d\beta_ne(a_1\overline{a_2}\bar{d}n/c)H^*(b_1\overline{b_2}\bar{d}n\overline{q_1};q_2)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{it}\Big|dt.\end{aligned}$$

We just handle the case $q_2 = q$, since the other cases turn out to have a smaller upper bound. By (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|t|\ll U}\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\asymp N_2, d\asymp D_2\\(nd,qc)=1}}\alpha_d\beta_n e(a_1\overline{a_2}\bar{d}n/c)H^*(b_1\overline{b_2}\bar{d}n;q)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{it}\Big|dt\\
\ll&\int_{|t|\ll U}\frac{1}{\varphi(qc)}\sum_{\psi(q)}\sum_{\omega(c)}\tau(\bar{\psi})\tau(\bar{\omega})g(\chi,\psi)\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\asymp N_2, d\asymp D_2\\(nd,qc)=1}}\alpha_d\beta_n\psi\omega(\bar{d}n)\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{it}\Big|dt\\
\ll&\frac{q^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{c^{1/2-\varepsilon}}\bigg(\int_{|t|\ll U}\sum_{\psi\omega(qc)}\Big|\sum_d\alpha_d\psi\omega(\bar{d})d^{-it}\Big|^2dt\bigg)^{1/2}\bigg(\int_{|t|\ll U}\sum_{\psi\omega(qc)}\Big|\sum_n\beta_n\psi\omega(n)n^{it}\Big|^2dt\bigg)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\alpha_d|\le 1$. Now after applying Lemma 5.1, we prove the lemma. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Denote $R_q(n) = S(n,0;q)$ the Ramanujan sum. By a long and complicated computation, Blomer [1, Eq. (51)] gave

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_\sigma(q,N;\delta) = \gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{c_1'c_2'=q}\sum_{\substack{f_1,f_2,d_2'\\(f_1f_2d_2',c_2'\delta)=1\\(f_1,f_2)=1,\mu^2(f_1)=1\\(f_1f_2,qd_2')=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_1'\mid f_1c_1'\\(n_1',d_2')=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2\\(n_2,d_2')=1}}\frac{d_2'\mu(f_2)}{c_2'}\\
\times\frac{A(n_2,n_1'f_2)}{n_1'n_2}\frac{\varphi(f_1f_2d_2'c_1')\varphi(f_1d_2'c_1'/n_1')}{\varphi(f_1f_2d_2'c_1'c_2')^2}e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{(n_1'c_2')^2f_2n_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'c_1'}}{f_1\delta'}\right)\\
\times\frac{h\chi_h(-1)}{\varphi(k)}R_k(n_2n_1'f_2c_2')R_k(c_2'\delta_0)R_k(n_1'f_2c_2')\\
\times H(\mp\sigma\overline{f_1d_2'hk}n_2(n_1'c_2')^2f_2c_2'\delta_0\overline{\delta'},\ell)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_2(n_1')^2N}{(f_1d_2'c_1')^3f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qf_1f_2d_2'\delta_0}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

where φ is the Euler function, $\gamma = \pi^{3/2}\chi(-1)/2$, and

$$h = (f_1f_2d_2', q) = (d_2', q),\quad k = (n_2(n_1'f_2c_2')^2c_2, q/h),\quad \ell = q/(hk).\tag{6.2}$$

We summary the relations of these variables and previous variables here, although we don't need them in this section (see Blomer [1, §6])

$$\begin{gathered}
c = qr,\quad c_2 = c/c_1,\quad \delta_0 = (\delta, r),\quad \delta' = \delta/\delta_0,\\
c' = c/\delta_0,\quad c_2' = c_2/\delta_0,\quad r' = r/\delta_0,\\
n_1' = n_1/f_2,\quad c_1' = c_1/r',\quad f_1f_2d_2' = r'.
\end{gathered}\tag{6.3}$$

As Blomer [1, §7] did, in the q-aspect, one can use the decay conditions of $\check{\Psi}_\sigma^{\pm}$ to show that several variables can be dropped. But in our case, things become much more complicated. However, the argument is similar to Blomer [1, §7], and we need to track the dependence on T and M. One can see that the argument in §6.2–6.4 is similar to §6.1, and even easier. In the q-aspect, results in §6.2–6.4 are better. However, it seems that to get a good bound in the t-aspect, we have to use the large sieve in all cases.

6.1. **The main case.** We first deal with the main case, that is, $c_1' = q,\ c_2' = h = k = 1$. This is the most important case (at least in the q-aspect), so we give the details of the treatment of this case. Denote these terms in (6.1) as $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)$. Note that we have $(d_2'n_1'n_2, q) = 1$. Write $f_1 = n_1'g$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,d_2'\\(gn_1'f_2d_2',q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(gn_1'f_2,d_2')=1}}\frac{d_2'\mu(f_2)}{\varphi(n_1'f_2)n_1'}\\ \times\sum_{\substack{n_2\\(n_2,qd_2')=1}}\frac{A(n_2,n_1'f_2)}{n_2}e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'}\right)\\ \times H(\mp\sigma\overline{gd_2'}n_2n_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{\delta'},q)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2N}{(gd_2'q)^3n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

Since we have $(n_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'q},g\delta')=1$, let

$$s=(n_2,g\delta'),\quad n_2=n_2's,\quad (n_2',g\delta'/s)=1.$$

We cancel the factor s from the numerator and denominator of the exponential getting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)}{\varphi(n_1'f_2)n_1'}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s}\\ \times\sum_{\substack{d_2'\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',qd_2'g\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2'A(n_2's,n_1'f_2)}{n_2'}e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\\ \times H(\mp\sigma\overline{gd_2'}n_2'sn_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{\delta'},q)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2'q)^3n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

The main actors in (6.5) are the variables d_2' and n_2'. We open the coprimality condition $(d_2',n_2')=1$ by Möbius inversion. We introduce a new variable r and get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon\sup_{N_2,D_2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{f_2(n_1')^2s}\\ \times\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{N_2\le n_2'\le 2N_2\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\sum_{\substack{D_2\le d_2'\le 2D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\frac{d_2'A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)}{n_2'}e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\\ \times H(\mp\sigma\overline{gd_2'}n_2'sn_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{\delta'},q)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2'q)^3r^2n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'r\delta_0}\right)\Bigg|.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, we can assume that

$$\begin{cases}1\le D_2\le\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0TM^{1-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le D_2\asymp\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0T}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases}\tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}1\le N_2\asymp\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3s}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le N_2\le\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sM^{3-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}\tag{6.7}$$

Now we consider the case $\sigma=-1$, and $x=\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2'q)^3r^2n_1'f_2}\gg T^{\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 4.4, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}M\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{(gf_2s)^{3/2}(n_1)^{7/2}r}\\ \times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{N^{1/2}}{q^{3/2}N_2^{1/2}D_2^{1/2}}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{N_2\le n_2'\le 2N_2\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\sum_{\substack{D_2\le d_2'\le 2D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')\\ \times A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)H(\mp\sigma\overline{gd_2'}n_2'sn_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{\delta'},q)\left(\frac{n_2'}{d_2'}\right)^{it}\Bigg|dt.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $U\asymp x^{1/3}\ll T^{1+\varepsilon}/M$, and from (2.12) we have

$$\Bigg(\sum_{n_2'\asymp N_2}|A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)|^2\Bigg)^{1/2}\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}N_2^{1/2}(rsn_1'f_2)^{7/32}.$$

Applying Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)&\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}Mq^{-1}r^{7/32}\sup_{D_2,N_2}(qU+D_2)^{1/2}(qU\delta+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}Mq^{-1}r^{7/32}\left(\frac{qT}{M}+\frac{(qT)^{1/2}}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{qT}{M}\delta+\frac{(qT)^{3/2}}{rM^3}\right)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\left(T\delta^{1/2}r^{7/32}+q^{1/4}T^{5/4}M^{-1}\right)\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\left(T\delta^{1/2}(qT)^{7/64}+q^{1/4}TM\right),\end{aligned}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll T^{1/2}$. Here we use the fact $r\ll (qT)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, which is a consequence of (6.6). Note that if $\sigma=1$, and $x\gg T^{\varepsilon}$, then the same argument will give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)&\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}Mq^{-1}r^{7/32}\sup_{D_2,N_2}(qU+D_2)^{1/2}(qU\delta+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}Mq^{-1}r^{7/32}\left(\frac{qT}{M}+\frac{(qT)^{1/2}}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{qT}{M}\delta+\frac{(qT)^{3/2}}{r}\right)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\left(T\delta^{1/2}r^{7/32}+q^{1/4}TM+q^{1/4}T^{5/4}M^{1/2}\right)\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\left(T\delta^{1/2}(qT)^{7/64}+q^{1/4}TM\right),\end{aligned}$$

provided $M\asymp T^{1/2}$. This will not give us a subconvexity bound in the t-aspect, so we have to sum over n_2 non-trivially.

Now we consider the case $x\ll T^{\varepsilon}$, i.e., $N_2\ll\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}T^{\varepsilon}}{(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sT^3}$. Note that the upper bound for N implies that this will happen only if $q\gg T^{1-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 4.4, for both $\sigma=\pm1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta)\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,f_2,n_1',r\\(n_1'f_2g,q)=1\\(n_1'f_2\delta_0,g\delta')=1}}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{(gf_2s)^{3/2}(n_1)^{7/2}r}\\ \times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{N^{1/2}}{q^{3/2}N_2^{1/2}D_2^{1/2}}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{N_2\le n_2'\le 2N_2\\(n_2',qg\delta'n_1'f_2/s)=1}}\sum_{\substack{D_2\le d_2'\le 2D_2\\(d_2',\delta n_1'gf_2q)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')\\ \times A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)H(\mp\sigma\overline{gd_2'}n_2'sn_1'f_2\delta_0\overline{\delta'},q)\Bigg|.\end{aligned}$$

Now by Blomer [1, Lemma 13], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\dagger(q,N;\delta) &\ll (qT)^\varepsilon Tq^{-1}r^{7/32}\sup_{D_2,N_2}(q+D_2)^{1/2}(q\delta+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^\varepsilon Tq^{-1}r^{7/32}\left(q+\frac{(qT)^{1/2}}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(q\delta+\frac{q^{3/2}}{rT^{3/2}}\right)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^\varepsilon Tr^{7/32}\left(\delta+\frac{q^{1/2}}{rT^{3/2}}\right)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\left(T\delta^{1/2}(qT)^{7/64}+(qT)^{1/4}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence in both cases, we prove (3.7). This finishes the estimation of $\mathcal{S}_\sigma(x;D)$ when $\sigma=-1$, and also when $\sigma=1$ and $x\ll T^\varepsilon$. In next section, we will focus on the case $\sigma=1$ and $x\gg T^\varepsilon$.

6.2. **The case $c_2'=q$.** In this subsection, we prove the terms attached with $c_2'=q$, $c_1'=1$ has a good bound, that is, we show that we can assume $c_2'=1$. Denote these terms in (6.1) as $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)$. Let $D=\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qf_1f_2d_2'^2\delta_0}$. By Lemma 4.4, we can assume

$$\begin{cases} D\gg TM^{1-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ D\asymp T, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

Hence, by (3.10), we have

$$x=\frac{n_2(n_1')^2N}{(f_1d_2'c_1')^3f_2}=\frac{n_2(n_1')^2f_2^2\delta_0^3(qD)^3}{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}\gg T^\varepsilon$$

By the condition $(f_1f_2d_2',q\delta)=1$, we have $h=(d_2',q)=1$, and then $k=q$ and $\ell=1$. Hence, after writing $n_1'g=f_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,d_2'\\(gn_1'f_2d_2',q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(gn_1'f_2,qd_2')=1}}\frac{1}{\varphi(n_1'f_2)}\sum_{\substack{n_2\\(n_2,d_2')=1}}\frac{d_2'\mu(f_2)}{q}\\ \times\frac{A(n_2,n_1'f_2)}{n_1'n_2}e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'q^2f_2n_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'}}{g\delta'}\right)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2N}{(gd_2')^3n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'\delta_0}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

Since we have $(n_1'q^2f_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'},g\delta')=1$, let

$$s=(n_2,g\delta'),\quad n_2=n_2's,\quad (n_2',g\delta'/s)=1.$$

We cancel the factor s from the numerator and denominator of the exponential, and open the coprimality condition $(n_2',d_2')=1$ by Möbius inversion (introduce the new variable r), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)\mu(r)}{n_1'\varphi(n_1'f_2)}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s}\\ \times\sum_{\substack{d_2'\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2'A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)}{qn_2'}\\ \times e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'q^2f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2')^3r^2n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'r\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gf_2)^{3/2}(n_1')^{5/2}r}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}$$
$$\times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{M}{qD_2^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2'\asymp D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')$$
$$\times A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'q^2f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\left(\frac{n_2'}{d_2'}\right)^{it}\Bigg|dt.$$

Here we can assume that

$$\begin{cases}1\le D_2\le\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0TM^{1-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le D_2\asymp\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0T}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}1\le N_2\asymp\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q^3(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3s}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le N_2\le\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q^3(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sM^{3-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

Note that in both cases, we have $U\ll T^{1+\varepsilon}/M$. We can use the multiplicative characters to separate the variables in the exponential function, together with Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gn_1')^{3/2}\varphi(n_1'f_2)f_2^{1/2}r}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}$$
$$\times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{M}{q}(g\delta'/s)^{1/2}(U+D_2)^{1/2}(U+N_2)^{1/2}(rsn_1'f_2)^{7/32}.$$

In the case $\sigma=-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)&\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gn_1')^{3/2}\varphi(n_1'f_2)f_2^{1/2}r}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\&\times\frac{M}{q^{3/4}}(g\delta'/s)^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{M}+\frac{T^{5/4}}{M^2r^{1/2}}\right)(rsn_1'f_2)^{7/32}\\&\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}TM,\end{aligned}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll T^{1/2}$, which is good enough for our purpose by (3.7). From now on we assume $c_2'=1,c_1'=q$. In the case $\sigma=1$, the same argument will give

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\flat(q,N;\delta)\ll (qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}TM,$$

provided $M\asymp T^{1/2}$.

6.3. **The case $h=q$.** Next we show that $h=q$ is negligible. Denote these terms in (6.1) as $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)$. In this case, we have $k=\ell=1$ and $q|d_2'$, Write $f_1=gn_1',\ d_2'=qd_2''$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}&\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)\mu(r)}{n_1'\varphi(n_1'f_2)}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s}\\&\times q^2\chi_q(-1)\sum_{\substack{d_2''\\(d_2'',\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2''A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)}{n_2'}\\&\times e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2''q^2}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2''q^2)^3r^2n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1'f_2d_2''r\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

Let $s=(n_2,g\delta')$ and $n_2=n_2's$ as before. After opening the condition $(n_2',d_2'')=1$ by Möbius inversion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)\mu(r)}{n_1'\varphi(n_1'f_2)}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s}\\ \times q^2\chi_q(-1)\sum_{\substack{d_2''\\(d_2'',\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2''A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)}{n_2'}\\ \times e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2''q^2}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2''q^2)^3r^2n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1'f_2d_2''r\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the sum over $d_2''\asymp D_2, n_2'\asymp N_2$, and we can assume

$$\begin{cases}1\le D_2\le\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1'f_2r\delta_0TM^{1-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le D_2\asymp\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1'f_2r\delta_0T}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}1\le N_2\asymp\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3s}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le N_2\le\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sM^{3-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

The following argument will depend on the size of $x=\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2''q^2)^3r^2n_1'f_2}$. If $x\gg T^\varepsilon$ and $\sigma=-1$, then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)\ll\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gf_2)^{3/2}(n_1')^{5/2}r}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\ \times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{M}{q(D_2N_2)^{1/2}}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2''\asymp D_2\\(d_2'',\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2'')\beta(n_2')\\ \times A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2''q^2}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\left(\frac{n_2'}{d_2''}\right)^{it}\Bigg|dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 5.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)&\ll\sup_{D_2,N_2}(qT)^\varepsilon\frac{M}{q}r^{7/32}(U+D_2)^{1/2}(\delta U+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll(qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{q}r^{7/32}+\frac{T^{5/4}}{q^{1/4}M}\right)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon TM\delta^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll T^{1/2}$. And again, if $x\gg T^\varepsilon$ and $\sigma=1$, the same argument shows that

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\natural(q,N;\delta)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{q}r^{7/32}+\frac{T^{5/4}M^{1/2}}{q^{1/4}}\right)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon TM\delta^{1/2},$$

provided $M\asymp T^{1/2}$.

Now if $x \ll T^{\varepsilon}$, then by Lemma 4.4, for both $\sigma = \pm 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{\natural}(q,N;\delta) \ll \sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gf_2)^{3/2}(n_1')^{5/2}r}\sum_{s|g\delta'}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\ \times \sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{T}{q(D_2N_2)^{1/2}}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2''\asymp D_2\\(d_2'',\delta g n_1' f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',qg\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2'')\beta(n_2')\\ \times A(n_2'rs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2''q^2}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\Bigg|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Blomer [1, Lemma 13], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{\natural}(q,N;\delta) &\ll \sup_{D_2,N_2}(qT)^{\varepsilon}\frac{T}{q}r^{7/32}(1+D_2)^{1/2}(\delta+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\delta^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{q}r^{7/32}+\frac{T^{1/4}}{q^{1/4}}\right)\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}TM\delta^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll T^{1/2}$. This proves (3.7) in this case. So from now on, we can assume $c_2'=h=1$.

6.4. **The case $k=q$.** Now, we show that we can also exclude the case $k=q$. First note that we can simplify $k=(n_2n_1',q)$. Hence we distinguish two cases and show that the contribution of $q|n_1'$ and $q|n_2$ is negligible. We first deal with the case $q|n_1'$. Denote these terms in (6.1) as $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{\sharp}(q,N;\delta)$. As before, we have

$$x\gg\frac{q^2N}{q^3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{Dq\delta_0}\right)^{-3}\gg\frac{q^2D^3}{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}\gg q^{1/2-\varepsilon}T^{3/2-\varepsilon}\gg T^{\varepsilon}.$$

Note that for q prime, we have $R_q(b)=\varphi(q)$ if $q|b$, and $R_q(b)=-1$ if $q\nmid b$. By the same process, (writing $n_1'=qn_1''$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{\sharp}(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{-\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1'',f_2,r\\(gn_1''f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1'',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1'')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1''f_2)=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)\mu(r)}{n_1''\varphi(f_2)}\sum_{\substack{s|g\delta'\\(s,d_2')=1}}\frac{1}{s}\\ \times\sum_{\substack{d_2'\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1''f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2'A(n_2'rs,n_1''qf_2)}{qn_2'}\\ \times e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{q^2n_1''f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\check{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_2'sN}{(gd_2'q)^3r^2qn_1''f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1''f_2d_2'r\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the sum over $d_2'\asymp D_2, n_2'\asymp N_2$, and by Lemma 4.4, we can assume

$$\begin{cases}1\le D_2\le\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1''f_2r\delta_0TM^{1-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le D_2\asymp\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{q^2gn_1''f_2r\delta_0T}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}1\le N_2\asymp\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q^2(n_1''f_2)^2r\delta_0^3s}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le N_2\le\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q^2(n_1''f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sM^{3-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

If $\sigma=-1$, then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\sharp(q,N;\delta)\ll\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1'',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gn_1''f_2)^{3/2}r}\sum_{\substack{s|g\delta'\\(s,d_2')=1}}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\ \times\sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{M}{q^3(D_2N_2)^{1/2}}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2'\asymp D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1''f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',d_2'g\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')\\ \times A(n_2'rs,n_1''qf_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{q^2n_1''f_2n_2'\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\left(\frac{n_2'}{d_2'}\right)^{it}\Bigg|dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 5.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\sharp(q,N;\delta)&\ll\sup_{D_2,N_2}(qT)^\varepsilon\frac{M}{q^3}r^{7/32}(U+D_2)^{1/2}(\delta U+N_2)^{1/2}\\ &\ll(qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{q^3}r^{7/32}+\frac{T^{5/4}}{q^3M}\right)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon TM\delta^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll T^{1/2}$. If $\sigma=1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^\sharp(q,N;\delta)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon\delta^{1/2}\left(\frac{T}{q^3}r^{7/32}+\frac{T^{5/4}M^{1/2}}{q^3}\right)\ll(qT)^\varepsilon TM\delta^{1/2},$$

provided $M\asymp T^{1/2}$.

From now on we assume $(q,n_1')=1$. Since $c_1'=q$ and $n_1'|f_1c_1'$, we have $n_1'|f_1$. We write

$$n_1'g=f_1.$$

Now we treat the case $q|n_2$. Denote these terms in (6.1) as $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta)$. Write $n_2=qn_2'$. By a similar argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta)=\gamma\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}\sum_{\substack{g,n_1',f_2,r\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1\\(r,q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)\mu(r)}{n_1'\varphi(n_1'f_2)}\sum_{\substack{s|g\delta'\\(s,d_2')=1}}\frac{1}{s}\\ \times\frac{1}{q}\sum_{\substack{d_2'\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\frac{d_2'A(n_2'rqs,n_1'f_2)}{n_2'}\\ \times e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'q\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\check{\Psi}_\sigma^\pm\left(\frac{n_2'sqN}{(gd_2'q)^3r^2n_1'f_2},\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2d_2'r\delta_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We consider the sum over $d_2'\asymp D_2, n_2'\asymp N_2$, and by Lemma 4.4, we can assume

$$\begin{cases}1\le D_2\le\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0TM^{1-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le D_2\asymp\frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{qgn_1'f_2r\delta_0T}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}1\le N_2\asymp\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3s}, & \text{if } \sigma=1,\\ 1\le N_2\le\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{q(n_1'f_2)^2r\delta_0^3sM^{3-\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

If $x \gg T^\varepsilon$ and $\sigma = -1$, then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta) \ll & \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gf_2)^{3/2}(n_1')^{5/2}r}\sum_{\substack{s|g\delta'\\(s,d_2')=1}}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\ &\times \sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{M}{q^2(D_2N_2)^{1/2}}\int_{|t|\ll U}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2'\asymp D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')\\ &\times A(n_2'rqs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'q\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\left(\frac{n_2'}{d_2'}\right)^{it}\Bigg|dt.\end{aligned}$$

The same argument shows that

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta) \ll (qT)^\varepsilon q^{-1}TM,$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$. And if $x \gg T^\varepsilon$ and $\sigma = 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta) \ll (qT)^\varepsilon q^{-1}TM,$$

provided $M \asymp T^{1/2}$ again. If $x \ll T^\varepsilon$, then by Lemma 4.4 again, for both $\sigma = \pm 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\mathcal{S}_\sigma^{\sharp\sharp}(q,N;\delta) \ll & \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0}\sum_{g,n_1',f_2,r}\frac{1}{(gf_2)^{3/2}(n_1')^{5/2}r}\sum_{\substack{s|g\delta'\\(s,d_2')=1}}\frac{1}{s^{1/2}}\\ &\times \sup_{D_2,N_2}\frac{T}{q^2(D_2N_2)^{1/2}}\Bigg|\sum_{\substack{d_2'\asymp D_2\\(d_2',q\delta gn_1'f_2)=1}}\sum_{\substack{n_2'\asymp N_2\\(n_2',g\delta'/s)=1}}\alpha(d_2')\beta(n_2')\\ &\times A(n_2'rqs,n_1'f_2)e\left(\pm\sigma\frac{n_1'f_2n_2'q\delta_0\overline{d_2'q}}{g\delta'/s}\right)\Bigg|.\end{aligned}$$

A better bound will show up under the assumption $T^{1/8+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$.

6.5. **Conclusion.** From the above discussion, we can take $M \asymp T^{1/2}$. This proves Proposition 3.1, and hence Theorem 1.2. On the other hand, recalling $\mathcal{R}^\pm$ in (3.6), we have

$$\mathcal{R}^- \ll q^{1/4}TM(qT)^\varepsilon, \tag{6.10}$$

provided $T^{1/8+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$. In the rest of this paper, we will use another method to bound $\mathcal{R}^+$, and then prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1.

7. Initial setup of Theorem 1.3

As in Section 3, we will use the moment method to prove Theorem 1.3. Since $q^{5/4}T^{3/2} \le q^4T^{4/3}$ if $q \ge T^{2/33}$, we know that Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2 if $q \ge T^{2/33}$. To prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to consider the case $q \le T^{2/33}$. However, in the most part of our following arguments, we just require $q \le T^{1/4}$, since we will need this in the proof of Theorem 1.1, see the end of §10. Similarly, at first, we will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *With notation as before, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and T large, assuming*

$$q \ll T^{1/6}, \quad \textit{and} \quad T^{1/3+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}, \tag{7.1}$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u_j\in\mathcal{B}^*(q)\\T-M\le t_j\le T+M}} L(1/2,\phi\times u_j\times\chi) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{T-M}^{T+M}|L(1/2+it,\phi\times\chi)|^2dt \ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} q^4TM(qT)^\varepsilon.$$

It's easy to see that Theorem 1.3 will follow from Proposition 7.1. And as in §3, it suffices to prove

$$\mathcal{R}^{\pm} \ll q^3TM(qT)^{\varepsilon}, \tag{7.2}$$

provided $T^{1/3+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}$ is defined as in (3.6). Note that we have (6.10), which gives a better bound for $\mathcal{R}^{-}$. So we only need to prove (7.2) for $\mathcal{R}^{+}$. As Blomer [1, §5] did, opening the Kloosterman sum, splitting the n-sum in to residue classes mod c, and detecting the summation congruence condition by primitive additive characters, it suffices to prove, for $T^{1/3+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$, (see Blomer [1, p. 1406–1407])

$$\sum_{\substack{m^2\delta^3 \leq (qT)^{3+\varepsilon} \\ (\delta,q)=1,\ \ |\mu(\delta)|=1}} \frac{|A(1,m)|}{m\delta^{3/2}} |\mathcal{S}(q,N;\delta)| \ll q^3TM(qT)^{\varepsilon}, \tag{7.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(q,N;\delta) := \sum_{q|c} \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{c_1|c} \sum_{b(c_1)}^{*} \sum_{d(c)}^{*} e\left(\frac{\bar{d}}{c}\right) \sum_{a(c)} \chi(a) e\left(-\frac{\bar{b}a}{c_1}\right) e\left(\frac{\delta d a}{c}\right) \\ \times \sum_{n} A(n,1) e\left(\frac{\bar{b}n}{c_1}\right) v\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) n^{-1/2-u} H^{+}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{\delta n}}{c}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where v a suitable fixed smooth function with support in $[1,2]$, and N satisfying (3.10). Note that as pointing out in the end of §3, we can restrict the c-sum to $c \leq (qT)^B$, for some fixed $B > 0$. Now we want to use the Voronoi formula to deal with the n-sum in (7.4). Before we do this, we need to give an asymptotic formula for H^{+}. These will be done in the next section.

8. Integral transforms and special functions

In this section, we follow Blomer [1, §3], Li [18, §4], and Mckee–Sun–Ye [19, §6] to give an estimate for the n-sum in (7.4). As in Li [18, Proposition 4.1], we will give an asymptotic formula for H^{+} at first. We shall follow Li [18, §4] and Mckee–Sun–Ye [19, §6] more closely, so readers who are familiar with their works can safely skip this section at a first reading. As Li [18, §4] did, we have

$$H^{+}(x) = H_1^{+}(x) + H_2^{+}(x) + O(T^{-A}), \tag{8.1}$$

where

$$H_1^{+}(x) = \frac{4TM}{\pi} \int_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\zeta=-T^{\varepsilon}}^{T^{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\cosh t} \cos(x\cosh\zeta) e\left(\frac{tM\zeta}{\pi}\right) e\left(\frac{T\zeta}{\pi}\right) dt d\zeta, \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$H_2^{+}(x) = \frac{4M^2}{\pi} \int_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\zeta=-T^{\varepsilon}}^{T^{\varepsilon}} \frac{t}{\cosh t} \cos(x\cosh\zeta) e\left(\frac{tM\zeta}{\pi}\right) e\left(\frac{T\zeta}{\pi}\right) dt d\zeta. \tag{8.3}$$

In the following we only treat $H_1^{+}(x)$, since $H_2^{+}(x)$ is a lower order term which can be handled in a similar way. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{+}(x) &= \frac{4MT}{\pi} \int_{-T^{\varepsilon}}^{T^{\varepsilon}} \widehat{k}\left(-\frac{M\zeta}{\pi}\right) \cos(x\cosh\zeta) e\left(\frac{T\zeta}{\pi}\right) d\zeta \\ &= 4T \int_{-\frac{MT^{\varepsilon}}{\pi}}^{\frac{MT^{\varepsilon}}{\pi}} \widehat{k}(\zeta) \cos\left(x\cosh\frac{\zeta\pi}{M}\right) e\left(-\frac{T\zeta}{M}\right) d\zeta, \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

by making a change of variable $-\frac{M\zeta}{\pi} \mapsto \zeta$, here

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\cosh t}, \tag{8.5}$$

and

$$\widehat{k}(\zeta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(t) e(-t\zeta) dt, \tag{8.6}$$

is its Fourier transform. Since $\widehat{k}(\zeta)$ is a Schwartz class function, one can extend the integral in (8.4) to $(-\infty,\infty)$ with a negligible error term. Now let

$$W(x) := T\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{k}(\zeta)\cos\left(x\cosh\frac{\zeta\pi}{M}\right) e\left(-\frac{T\zeta}{M}\right) d\zeta, \tag{8.7}$$

then we have

$$H_1^+(x) = 4W(x) + O\left(T^{-A}\right).$$

Lemma 8.1. (i) *For $|x| \le T^{1-\varepsilon}M$, we have*

$$W(x) \ll_{\varepsilon,A} T^{-A}.$$

(ii) *Assume $MT^{1-\varepsilon} \le x \le T^2$, and $T^{1/3+2\varepsilon} \le M \le T^{1/2}$. Let $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) = \frac{MT}{\sqrt{x}}\sum_{\pm} e\left(\mp\frac{x}{2\pi}\pm\frac{T^2}{\pi x}\right)\sum_{l=0}^{L_1}\sum_{0\le l_1\le 2l}\sum_{\frac{l_1}{4}\le l_2\le L_2} c_{l,l_1,l_2}\frac{M^{2l-l_1}T^{4l_2-l_1}}{x^{l+3l_2-l_1}} \\ \times\left[\widehat{k}^{(2l-l_1)}\left(\mp\frac{2MT}{\pi x}\right) - \frac{\pi^6 ix}{6!M^6}(y^6\widehat{k}(y))^{(2l-l_1)}\left(\mp\frac{2MT}{\pi x}\right)\right. \\ \left. + \frac{\pi^{12}i^2x^2}{2!(6!)^2M^{12}}(y^{12}\widehat{k}(y))^{(2l-l_1)}\left(\mp\frac{2MT}{\pi x}\right)\right] \\ + O\left(\frac{TM}{\sqrt{x}}\left(\frac{T^4}{x^3}\right)^{L_2+1} + T\left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{x}}\right)^{2L_2+3} + \frac{Tx^3}{M^{18}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

where c_{l,l_1,l_2} are constants depending only on the indices.

Proof. See Mckee–Sun–Ye [19, Proposition 6.1]. □

Now we estimate $\mathcal{S}(q,N;\delta)$. Let $x = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{\delta n}}{c}$ in the above lemma. Assume $MT^{1-\varepsilon} \le x \le T^2$. By choosing L_1, L_2 large enough (depending on ε) in (8.8), the contribution to $\mathcal{S}$ from the first two error terms can be made as small as desired. We need to estimate the contribution from the last error term. By the support of v, we may assume that $x \ll \frac{(qT)^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{c}$. Note that for $q \ll T^{1/4}$, we always have $x \le T^2$. So the contribution from the last error term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{q|c}\frac{1}{c^2}\sum_{c_1|c}\sum_{b(c_1)}^{*}\sum_{a(c)}|S(\delta a,1;c)|\sum_{n\ll N}\frac{|A(n,1)|}{n^{1/2}}\frac{TN^{3/2}}{M^{18}c^3} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}\frac{T(qT)^6}{M^{18}}\sum_{q|c}\frac{1}{c^{5/2}} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{7/2}TM\frac{T^6}{M^{19}} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{3/2}TM, \end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

provided $T^{1/3+2\varepsilon} \le M \le T^{1/2}$ and $q \le T^{1/6}$. In the finite series (8.8), with our assumptions, we always have

$$\frac{M^{2l-l_1}T^{4l_2-l_1}}{x^{l+3l_2-l_1}} \ll 1.$$

All the terms in (8.8) are similar, and can be estimated in a similar way, so we will only work with the first term, that is, the term with $l = l_1 = l_2 = 0$. We are led to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(q,N;\delta) := \frac{TM}{\delta^{1/4}}\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}}\frac{1}{c^{3/2}}\sum_{c_1|c}\sum_{b(c_1)}^{*}\sum_{d(c)}^{*} e\left(\frac{\bar{d}}{c}\right)\sum_{a(c)}\chi(a)e\left(-\frac{\bar{b}a}{c_1}\right)e\left(\frac{\delta da}{c}\right) \\ \times\sum_{n} A(n,1)e\left(\frac{\bar{b}n}{c_1}\right)\psi(n), \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

where

$$C = \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{T^{1-\varepsilon}M}, \tag{8.11}$$

and

$$\psi(y)=y^{-3/4-u}v\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)\sum_{\pm}e\left(\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c}\pm\frac{T^2c}{4\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right)\widehat{k}\left(\mp\frac{MTc}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right). \tag{8.12}$$

Now we apply the Voronoi formula for the n-sum in (8.10), getting

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(q,N;\delta)&=\frac{TM}{\delta^{1/4}}\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}}\frac{1}{c^{3/2}}\sum_{c_1|c}\sum_{b(c_1)}^{*}\sum_{d(c)}^{*}e\left(\frac{\bar{d}}{c}\right)\sum_{a(c)}\chi(a)e\left(-\frac{\bar{b}a}{c_1}\right)e\left(\frac{\delta da}{c}\right)\\&\quad\times\frac{c_1\pi^{3/2}}{2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{n_1|c_1}\sum_{n_2\geq1}\frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_1n_2}S\left(b,\pm n_2;c_1/n_1\right)\Psi^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c_1^3}\right)\\&=\frac{\pi^{3/2}TM}{2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}}\frac{1}{c^{3/2}}\sum_{c_1|c}c_1\sum_{n_1|c_1}\sum_{n_2\geq1}\frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_1n_2}\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)\Psi^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c_1^3}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

where $\Psi^{\pm}(x)$ is defined as in (2.20) with ψ in (8.12), and $\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)=\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\sigma}_{\delta,c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)$ with $\sigma=1$. Now, we will deal with $\Psi^{\pm}(x)$, where $x=\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c_1^3}$. Since for $q\ll T^{1/4}$, we have

$$xN=\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c_1^3}N\geq NC^{-3}\geq M^3T^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\Psi^{\pm}(x)=\gamma_1x^{2/3}\sum_{\sigma\in\{\pm1\}}\int_0^{\infty}a_{\sigma}(y)e\left(\sigma\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c}\pm3(xy)^{1/3}\right)dy+\text{lower order terms},$$

where

$$a_{\sigma}(y)=y^{-13/12-u}v\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)e\left(-\sigma\frac{T^2c}{4\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right)\widehat{k}\left(\sigma\frac{MTc}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right).$$

Note that for Ψ^{+}, when $\sigma=1$ has no stationary points, so the contribution to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is negligible; so does Ψ^{-} with $\sigma=-1$. Hence, we have

$$\Psi^{\pm}(x)=\gamma_1x^{2/3}\int_0^{\infty}a^{\pm}(y)e\left(\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c}\pm3(xy)^{1/3}\right)dy+\text{lower order terms}, \tag{8.14}$$

where

$$a^{\pm}(y)=v\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)e\left(\pm\frac{T^2c}{4\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right)\widehat{k}\left(\mp\frac{MTc}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y}}\right)y^{-13/12-u}. \tag{8.15}$$

By (8.10), to prove Proposition 7.1, we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}=\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(q,N;\delta):=&\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}}\frac{1}{c^{3/2}}\sum_{c_1|c}c_1\sum_{n_1|c_1}\sum_{n_2\geq1}\frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_1n_2}\\&\times\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)\Psi_0^{\pm}\left(\frac{n_1^2n_2}{c_1^3}\right)\ll(qT)^{\varepsilon}q^3,\end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

where

$$\Psi_0^{\pm}(x):=x^{2/3}\int_0^{\infty}a^{\pm}(y)e\left(\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c}\pm3(xy)^{1/3}\right)dy. \tag{8.17}$$

Now we will use the stationary phase method to deal with (8.17). Denote

$$\phi(y)=\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c}\pm3(xy)^{1/3}. \tag{8.18}$$

By the first derivative of ϕ and the support of y, we know $\Psi_0^{\pm}(x)$ is negligible unless

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{c^3}\leq x\leq2\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}}{c^3},\quad\text{that is,}\quad\frac{2}{3}\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}c_1^3}{n_1^2c^3}\leq n_2\leq2\frac{(\delta^3N)^{1/2}c_1^3}{n_1^2c^3}. \tag{8.19}$$

Since the support of $a^{\pm}$ is in $[N, 2N]$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty a^{\pm}(y) e\left(\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c} \pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right) dy = \int_{\frac{1}{4}x^2c^6/\delta^3}^{\frac{9}{2}x^2c^6/\delta^3} a^{\pm}(y) e\left(\mp\frac{2\sqrt{\delta y}}{c} \pm 3(xy)^{1/3}\right) dy. \tag{8.20}$$

There is a stationary phase point $y_0 = x^2c^6/\delta^3$ such that $\phi'(y_0) = 0$. Note that we have

$$N \le y \le 2N, \quad \text{and} \quad c \ll C = \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{T^{1-\varepsilon}M}.$$

Write $a(y) = a^{\pm}(y)$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which will be chosen later. Simple calculus estimates give us

$$\phi^{(r)}(y) \ll \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{c} N^{-r}, \quad \text{for } r = 2, \dots, 2n_0 + 3,$$

and

$$a^{(r)}(y) \ll N^{-13/12} \left(\frac{\delta^{1/2}N^{3/2}}{T^2c}\right)^{-r}, \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, \dots, 2n_0 + 1,$$

for $y \asymp N$. To apply Lemma 2.6, set

$$M_0 = 10N, \quad T_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\delta N}}{c}, \quad N_0 = \frac{\delta^{1/2}N^{3/2}}{T^2c}, \quad U_0 = N^{-13/12}. \tag{8.21}$$

Note that $\phi''(y) \gg T_0M_0^{-2}$ for $y \in [\frac{1}{4}x^2c^6/\delta^3, \frac{9}{2}x^2c^6/\delta^3]$, and the condition $N_0 \ge M_0^{1+\varepsilon}/\sqrt{T_0}$ is consistent with our assumption $c \le C$ when $M \ge T^{1/3+2\varepsilon}$.

We are ready to apply Lemma 2.6 (where we take $n = n_0$). The main term of the integral in (8.20) is

$$\frac{e(\phi(y_0) + 1/8)}{\sqrt{\phi''(y_0)}} \Big(a(y_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \varpi_{2j} \frac{(-1)^j(2j-1)!!}{(4\pi i\lambda_2)^j} \Big), \tag{8.22}$$

where $\lambda_2 = |\phi''(y_0)|/2$. Notice we have used

$$\gamma - \alpha \asymp \beta - \gamma \asymp M_0,$$

with $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}x^2c^6/\delta^3$, $\beta = \frac{9}{2}x^2c^6/\delta^3$, and $\gamma = y_0 = x^2c^6/\delta^3$. To save time in estimates, notice that there are no boundary terms here. That is, the terms related to H_i in Lemma 2.6 will vanish. This is due to the compact support of a, with itself and all of its derivatives zero at $\frac{1}{4}x^2c^6/\delta^3$ and $\frac{9}{2}x^2c^6/\delta^3$. The sum of the four error terms in Lemma 2.6 can be simplified to

$$O\left(\frac{U_0M_0^{2n_0+2}}{T_0^{n_0+1}N_0^{2n_0+1}}\right) = O\left(c^{3n_0+2}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{13}{12}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-1}\right). \tag{8.23}$$

This estimate uses the current assumptions on c, and the size of N compared to q and T. Note that

$$M_0 \gg N_0, \quad \text{if} \quad q \ll T^{1/4}.$$

We now need to deal with the ϖ_{2j} terms in (8.22). Recall the expression for ϖ_{2j} in equation (2.24). Here we take $2 \le 2j \le 2n_0$. One can see from (2.24) that the main term from ϖ_{2j} is $a^{(2j)}(y_0)$. (a given in equation (8.15), and ϕ, above (8.18), take the place of g and f in Lemma 2.6. Further y_0 takes the place of γ.) Using the above estimates, we have

$$\varpi_{2j} - \frac{a^{(2j)}(y_0)}{(2j)!} = O\left(\frac{U_0}{M_0N_0^{2j-1}}\right). \tag{8.24}$$

The constant ultimately depends on n_0 and we have used $M_0 \gg N_0$. To estimate this error term contribution to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, we must divide by $\lambda_2^{j+1/2}$ and sum over j. (See (8.22).) Since $y_0 \asymp N$, we have $\lambda_2 \asymp \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{cN^{3/2}}$. We have then that this contribution is

$$O\left(N^{-\frac{25}{12}}\left(\frac{T^2c}{\delta^{1/2}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{2j-1}\left(\frac{cN^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\delta^{1/2}}\right)^{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) = O\left(c^{3j-\frac{1}{2}}T^{4j-2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{6}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{4}}\right). \tag{8.25}$$

We must now estimate the $a^{(2j)}(y_0)$ term in ϖ_{2j} in (8.22). Let i_1 be the number of times $v\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)$ is differentiated plus the number of times $y^{-13/12-u}$ is differentiated. So at every differentiation either

the factor $\frac{1}{N}$ comes out, or up to a constant, the factor $\frac{1}{y}$ comes out. Notice that $\frac{1}{y} \asymp \frac{1}{N}$. Let i_2 be the number of times $\widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTc}{2\pi^2\sqrt{y}}\Big)$ is differentiated, and denote i_3 to be the number of times $e\Big(\frac{-T^2c}{4\pi^2\sqrt{y}}\Big)$ is differentiated. Then $i_1+i_2+i_3=2j$, and neglecting coefficients (which ultimately depend on n_0), $a^{(2j)}(y_0)$ is the sum over all combinatorial possibilities of

$$N^{-\frac{13}{12}-i_1}\left(\frac{MTc}{\delta^{1/2}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{i_2}\left(\frac{T^2c}{\delta^{1/2}N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{i_3}.$$

The main term is when $i_3=2j$ and we will estimate this separately, below. So we can assume in all terms, now, that $i_1+i_2\geq 1$. To estimate this error term, which is all but one term in $a^{(2j)}(y_0)$, as before, in (8.22), we must divide by $\lambda_2^{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\lambda_2 \asymp \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{cN^{3/2}}$ with our assumption on y_0. We have then a sum of error terms which are all

$$O\left(M^{i_2}c^{j+i_2+i_3+\frac{1}{2}}T^{i_2+2i_3}N^{\frac{3}{2}j-i_1-\frac{3}{2}i_2-\frac{3}{2}i_3-\frac{1}{3}}\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}(j+i_2+i_3+\frac{1}{2})}\right).$$

Using $i_3=2j-i_1-i_2$, the above bound will be

$$O\left(M^{i_2}c^{3j-i_1+\frac{1}{2}}T^{4j-2i_1-i_2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}j-\frac{5}{2}i_1-\frac{1}{3}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}i_1-\frac{1}{4}}\right). \tag{8.26}$$

We will bound the contribution of all these error terms to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ in the next section.

This leaves the main term of $a^{(2j)}(y_0)$ (where $i_3=2j$ and $i_1=i_2=0$) which is

$$a_{2j}(y_0) := \alpha_j\Big(\frac{T^2c}{\delta^{1/2}y_0^{3/2}}\Big)^{2j}v\Big(\frac{y_0}{N}\Big)\widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTc}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y_0}}\Big)e\Big(\frac{-T^2c}{4\pi^2\sqrt{\delta y_0}}\Big)y_0^{-13/12-u}, \tag{8.27}$$

where the constant α_j depends on j which ultimately can be bounded in terms of n_0. As Li [18, §4] did, we can not bound these terms trivially. Instead, we will apply the Voronoi formula a second time. This will be done in section 10.

9. Contribution from the error terms

In this section, we will bound the contribution of these error terms (8.23), (8.25), and (8.26) to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, see (8.16). To do this, we need to recall the result of Blomer [1] for $\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Assume $(q,\delta)=1$, $\delta_0|c_2$, $(r',c_2)=1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q) = e\left(\mp\frac{n_1^2n_2(c_2')^2c_2}{c'\delta'}\right)\frac{\varphi(c_1)\varphi(c_1/n_1)}{\varphi(c')^2}\frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0}r^2q\chi(-1)\\ \times\sum_{\substack{f_1f_2d_2'=r'\\(d_2',f_1n_1n_2)=1\\(f_1,f_2)=1,\mu^2(f_1)=1\\(f_1f_2,q)=1,f_2|n_1}}\frac{\mu(f_2)}{f_1}e\left(\pm\frac{(n_1'c_2')^2f_2n_2\delta_0\overline{d_2'c_1'}}{f_1\delta'}\right)\mathcal{V}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q),\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q) := \sum_{g_5,g_6(q)}\chi(g_5g_6)\chi(g_5r'+c_2g_6r'\mp c_2n_2n_1c_2')\\ \times\chi(r'g_6\mp n_2n_1c_2')e\left(\bar{\delta'}n_1c_2'\frac{g_5+c_2g_6}{q}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{V}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q) = \chi_h(-1)\frac{h}{\varphi(k)}R_k(n_2n_1c_2')R_k(c_2)R_k(n_1c_2')H(\mp\overline{r'hk}n_2(n_1c_2')^2c_2\overline{\delta'},\ell). \tag{9.3}$$

Recall that h,k,ℓ are defined in (6.2)*, and the relations of the variables are summarized in* (6.3)*. If one of the conditions $\delta_0|c_2$ and $(r',c_2)=1$ is not satisfied, then $\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)=0$.*

Proof. See Blomer [1, Lemma 12, eq. (50), and §7]. □

By the definition of $\mathcal{V}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)$, we have the following trivial bound

$$|\mathcal{V}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q)| \leq q^2.$$

A trivial estimate shows

$$\mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q) \ll \frac{\delta_0 r^2 q^3}{c_2^2 n_1}\tau_3(c).$$

The contribution to (8.16) from the error term in (8.23) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} \frac{1}{c^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1 \sum_{n_1|c_1}\sum_{n_2} \frac{|A(n_2,n_1)|}{n_1 n_2}\frac{\delta_0 r^2 q^3}{c_2^2 n_1}\tau_3(c)\left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2}{c_1^3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} c^{3n_0+2}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{13}{12}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-1} \\ &\leq (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{13}{12}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-1}q\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} c^{3n_0+\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{c_1|c}c_1\sum_{n_1|c_1}\frac{1}{n_1^{2/3}}\sum_{n_2}\frac{|A(n_2,n_1)|}{n_2^{1/3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where n_2 satisfies (8.19). We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{13}{12}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-1}q\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} c^{3n_0+\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{c_1|c}c_1\sum_{n_1|c_1}\frac{1}{n_1^{2/3}}\frac{(\delta^3 N)^{1/3}c_1^2}{n_1^{4/3}c^2}n_1 \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{3}{4}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0}q\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} c^{3n_0+\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4n_0+2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0-\frac{3}{4}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}n_0}C^{3n_0+\frac{5}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (8.11) and (3.10), (noting that we can let the ε in the upper bound of C be much smaller than the ε in the lower bound of M), we have

$$E_1 \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{3/2}T^{n_0+1}M^{-3n_0-5/2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{3/2}, \tag{9.4}$$

provided $T^{1/3+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$ and $n_0 \geq 1/\varepsilon$. Similarly, the contribution to (8.16) from the error term in (8.25) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} \frac{1}{c^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1 \sum_{n_1|c_1}\sum_{n_2} \frac{|A(n_2,n_1)|}{n_1 n_2}\frac{\delta_0 r^2 q^3}{c_2^2 n_1}\tau_3(c) \\ &\qquad \times \left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2}{c_1^3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} c^{3j-\frac{1}{2}}T^{4j-2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{6}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4j-2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{5}{4}}q\sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} c^{3j-1} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{4j-2}N^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{5}{4}}C^{3j} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}T^{j-2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{\frac{5}{4}}M^{-3j} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{\frac{3}{2}}T^{j-2}M^{-3j} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon}q^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

for $0 \le j \le n_0$, provided $T^{1/3} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$. Finally, the contribution to (8.16) from the error term in (8.26) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} \frac{1}{c^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1 \sum_{n_1|c_1} \sum_{n_2} \frac{|A(n_2,n_1)|}{n_1 n_2} \frac{\delta_0 r^2 q^3}{c_2^2 n_1} \tau_3(c) \left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2}{c_1^3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\qquad \times M^{i_2} c^{3j-i_1+\frac{1}{2}} T^{4j-2i_1-i_2} N^{-\frac{3}{2}j-\frac{5}{2}i_1-\frac{1}{3}} \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}i_1-\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} M^{i_2} T^{4j-2i_1-i_2} N^{-\frac{3}{2}j-\frac{5}{2}i_1} \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}i_1+\frac{3}{4}} q \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} c^{3j-i_1} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} M^{-3j+i_1+i_2-1} T^{j-i_1-i_2-1} N^{-2i_1+\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{5}{4}} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{-3j+i_1+i_2-1} T^{j-i_1-i_2+\frac{1}{2}} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

for $1 \le i_1+i_2 \le 2j$, provided $T^{1/3} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}$. We finish the estimate of these error terms.

10. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1. At first, we will estimate the contribution to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of $a_{2j}(y_0)$ in (8.16). To bound this, we only need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j(q,N;\delta) &:= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} \frac{1}{c^{3/2}} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1 \sum_{n_1|c_1} \sum_{n_2\ge 1} \frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_1 n_2} \\ &\qquad \times x^{2/3} \frac{a_{2j}(y_0)}{\lambda_2^{j+1/2}} e(\phi(y_0)) \mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q), \end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

for all $0 \le j \le n_0$, where $x = \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{c_1^3}$, $y_0 = \frac{x^2 c^6}{\delta^3}$, and $\lambda_2 = \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{12 c y_0^{3/2}}$. Inserting these values, together with (8.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j &= 12^{j+1/2} \alpha_j T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4+3u} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{q|c\\ c\ll C}} \frac{1}{c^{6j+3+6u}} \sum_{c_1|c} c_1^{9j+1+6u} \sum_{n_1|c_1} \frac{1}{n_1^{6j+1+4u}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_2\ge 1} \frac{A(n_2,n_1)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} v\Big(\frac{n_1^4 n_2^2 c^6}{c_1^6 \delta^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MT\delta c_1^3}{2\pi^2 n_1^2 n_2 c^2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2\delta c_1^3}{4\pi^2 n_1^2 n_2 c^2}\Big) \check{\mathcal{T}}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q), \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

where

$$\check{\mathcal{T}}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q) := e\Big(\pm \frac{n_1^2 n_2 c^2}{c_1^3 \delta}\Big) \mathcal{T}^{\pm,\delta}_{c_1,n_1,n_2}(c,q). \tag{10.3}$$

By Lemma 9.1, after some simplification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j &= \frac{\gamma_j T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4+3u}}{q} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta} \frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0^{6j+2+6u}} \sum_{c_1'c_2'=q} \frac{(c_1')^{3j}}{(c_2')^{6j+1+6u}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{f_1 f_2 d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0)\\ (f_1 f_2 d_2', c_2'\delta)=1\\ (f_1,f_2)=1, \mu^2(f_1)=1\\ (f_1 f_2, q d_2')=1}} \frac{\mu(f_2) f_1^{3j} (d_2')^{3j}}{f_2^{3j+4u} f_1 f_2} \sum_{\substack{n_1'|f_1 c_1'\\ (n_1', d_2')=1}} \frac{1}{(n_1')^{6j+1+4u}} \frac{\varphi(f_1 f_2 d_2' c_1') \varphi(f_1 d_2' c_1'/n_1')}{\varphi(f_1 f_2 d_2' c_1' c_2')^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_2\\ (n_2,d_2')=1}} \frac{A(n_2, n_1' f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\pm \frac{(n_1' c_2')^2 f_2 n_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' c_1'}}{f_1 \delta'}\right) \frac{h \chi_h(-1)}{\varphi(k)} \\ &\quad \times R_k(n_2 n_1' f_2 c_2') R_k(c_2'\delta_0) R_k(n_1' f_2 c_2') H(\mp \overline{f_1 d_2' h k} n_2 (n_1' c_2')^2 f_2 c_2' \delta_0 \overline{\delta'}, \ell) \\ &\quad \times v\Big(\frac{n_1^4 n_2^2 (c_2')^6 \delta_0^3}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MT c_1' f_1 d_2' \delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 (c_2' n_1')^2 n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 c_1' f_1 d_2' \delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 (c_2' n_1')^2 n_2}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

where $\gamma_j = 12^{j+\frac{1}{2}}\chi(-1)\alpha_j$. Recall that the relations of the new variables and the old variables are

$$c = c_1' f_1 f_2 d_2' c_2' \delta_0, \quad c_1 = c_1' f_1 f_2 d_2', \quad c_2 = c_2' \delta_0, \quad r = f_1 f_2 d_2' \delta_0, \quad n_1 = n_1' f_2. \tag{10.5}$$

Note that $u \in [\varepsilon - i\log^2(qT), \varepsilon + i\log^2(qT)]$, so the appearance of u in the exponents is harmless. As in §6, we have the following four cases to handle. Since all these cases are similar, we will only deal with the main case, that is the case $c_1' = q,\ c_2' = h = k = 1$. Denote these terms in (10.4) as $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^\dagger$. Note that we have $(d_2' n_1' n_2, q) = 1$. Write $f_1 = n_1' g$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^\dagger = \gamma_j q^{3j-1} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4+3u} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta' = \delta} \frac{\mu(\delta_0)\chi(\delta)}{\delta_0^{6j+2+6u}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1' f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0) \\ (gn_1' f_2, q\delta) = 1 \\ (gn_1', f_2) = 1, \mu^2(gn_1') = 1}} \\ \times \frac{\mu(f_2) g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+1+4u} \varphi(n_1' f_2)(n_1')^{3j+2+4u}} \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 g n_1' f_2) \\ (d_2', q g n_1' f_2 \delta) = 1}} (d_2')^{3j} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{n_2 \\ (n_2, d_2') = 1}} \frac{A(n_2, n_1' f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\pm \frac{n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' q} n_2}{g\delta'}\right) H(\mp \overline{g d_2'} n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{\delta'} n_2, q) \\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1' f_2)^4 \delta_0^3 n_2^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{10.6}$$

To remove the coprime condition $(n_2, d_2') = 1$, we split the n_2-sum into residue classes mod d_2', and then detect the summation congruence condition by additive characters mod d_2', getting

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^\dagger \ll (qT)^\varepsilon q^{3j-1} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta' = \delta} \frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1' f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0) \\ (gn_1' f_2, q\delta) = 1 \\ (gn_1', f_2) = 1, \mu^2(gn_1') = 1}} \\ \times \frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}} \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 g n_1' f_2) \\ (d_2', q g n_1' f_2 \delta) = 1}} (d_2')^{3j} \Bigg| \sum_{a_1(d_2')}^{*} \frac{1}{d_2'} \sum_{b_1(d_2')} e\left(-\frac{b_1 a_1}{d_2'}\right) \\ \times \sum_{n_2} \frac{A(n_2, n_1' f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\frac{b_1 n_2}{d_2'}\right) e\left(\pm \frac{n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' q} n_2}{g\delta'}\right) H(\mp \overline{g d_2'} n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{\delta'} n_2, q) \\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1' f_2)^4 \delta_0^3 n_2^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) \Bigg|.\end{aligned}$$

Now by (5.1), (5.2), and (5.4), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^\dagger \ll \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2}, \tag{10.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,1} := (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{3j-1} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta} \frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1'f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0)\\ (gn_1'f_2, q\delta)=1\\ (gn_1', f_2)=1, \mu^2(gn_1')=1}} \\ \times \frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}} \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 gn_1'f_2)\\ (d_2', qgn_1'f_2\delta)=1}} (d_2')^{3j} \frac{1}{d_2'} \sum_{b_1(d_2')} |S(0,-b_1;d_2')| \\ \times \Bigg| \sum_{n_2} \frac{A(n_2, n_1'f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\frac{b_1 n_2}{d_2'}\right) e\left(\pm \frac{n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' q} n_2}{g\delta'}\right) \\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4 \delta_0^3 n_2^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big)\Bigg|,\end{aligned} \tag{10.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} := (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{3j+\frac{1}{2}} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta} \frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1'f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0)\\ (gn_1'f_2, q\delta)=1\\ (gn_1', f_2)=1, \mu^2(gn_1')=1}} \\ \times \frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}} \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 gn_1'f_2)\\ (d_2', qgn_1'f_2\delta)=1}} (d_2')^{3j} \frac{1}{d_2'} \sum_{b_1(d_2')} |S(0,-b_1;d_2')| \\ \times \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\psi(q)} \Bigg| \sum_{n_2} \frac{A(n_2, n_1'f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\frac{b_1 n_2}{d_2'}\right) e\left(\pm \frac{n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' q} n_2}{g\delta'}\right) \psi(n_2) \\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4 \delta_0^3 n_2^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big)\Bigg|.\end{aligned} \tag{10.9}$$

We will focus on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2}$, since it turns out that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,1}$ is easier and has a better upper bound. At first we need to remove the factor $\psi(n_2)$ in the innermost sum of (10.9). Again, we split the n_2-sum into residue classes mod q, and then detect the summation congruence condition by additive characters mod q, getting

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} = (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{3j+\frac{1}{2}} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta} \frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1'f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0)\\ (gn_1'f_2, q\delta)=1\\ (gn_1', f_2)=1, \mu^2(gn_1')=1}} \frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 gn_1'f_2)\\ (d_2', qgn_1'f_2\delta)=1}} \frac{(d_2')^{3j}}{d_2'} \sum_{b_1(d_2')} |S(0,-b_1;d_2')| \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\psi(q)} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b_2(q)} |S_\psi(0,-b_2;q)| \\ \times \Bigg| \sum_{n_2} \frac{A(n_2, n_1'f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}} e\left(\frac{b_1 n_2}{d_2'}\right) e\left(\frac{b_2 n_2}{q}\right) e\left(\pm \frac{n_1' f_2 \delta_0 \overline{d_2' q} n_2}{g\delta'}\right) \\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4 \delta_0^3 n_2^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big) \widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big) e\Big(\frac{-T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2 \delta_0 f_2 n_1' n_2}\Big)\Bigg|,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_\psi(m,n;c) = \sum_{d(c)}^{*} \psi(d) e\left(\frac{m\bar{d} + nd}{c}\right)$$

is the Kloosterman sum with character ψ. Note that $S(0,-b_1;d_2')$ is related to the Ramanujan sum, and $S_\psi(0,-b_2;q)$ is related to the Gauss sum, inserting the upper bound for these sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} \ll (qT)^\varepsilon q^{3j+1}T^{4j}\delta^{3j+3/4}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta}\frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}}\sum_{\substack{gn_1'f_2\ll C/(q\delta_0)\\(gn_1'f_2,q\delta)=1\\(gn_1',f_2)=1,\mu^2(gn_1')=1}}\\ \times\frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}}\sum_{\substack{d_2'\ll C/(q\delta_0gn_1'f_2)\\(d_2',qgn_1'f_2\delta)=1}}\frac{(d_2')^{3j}}{d_2'}\sum_{b_1(d_2')}(b_1,d_2')\frac{1}{q}\sum_{b_2(q)}\\ \times\Bigg|\sum_{n_2}\frac{A(n_2,n_1'f_2)}{n_2^{3j+1+2u}}e\left(\frac{(b_1qg\delta'+b_2d_2'g\delta'\pm n_1'f_2\delta_0)n_2}{d_2'qg\delta'}\right)\\ \times v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4\delta_0^3n_2^2}{(\delta')^3N}\Big)\widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2\delta_0f_2n_1'n_2}\Big)e\Big(\frac{-T^2qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0f_2n_1'n_2}\Big)\Bigg|.\end{aligned}\tag{10.10}$$

Now we will handle the inner n_2-sum, that is,

$$\sum_{n_2}A(n_2,n_1'f_2)e\left(\frac{b'n_2}{c'}\right)w_j(n_2),\tag{10.11}$$

where

$$w_j(y):=\frac{1}{y^{3j+1+2u}}v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4\delta_0^3y^2}{(\delta')^3N}\Big)\widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2\delta_0f_2n_1'y}\Big)e\Big(\frac{-T^2qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0f_2n_1'y}\Big),\tag{10.12}$$

and

$$\frac{b'}{c'}:=\frac{b_1qg\delta'+b_2d_2'g\delta'\pm n_1'f_2\delta_0}{d_2'qg\delta'},\quad\text{with}\quad(b',c')=1,\quad\text{and}\quad c'|d_2'qg\delta'.\tag{10.13}$$

We apply the Voronoi formula on $GL(3)$ a second time, getting

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n_2}A(n_2,n_1'f_2)e\left(\frac{b'n_2}{c'}\right)w_j(n_2)\\ &=\frac{c'\pi^{3/2}}{2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{l_1|c'n_1'f_2}\sum_{l_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{A(l_2,l_1)}{l_1l_2}S\left(n_1'f_2\bar{b'},\pm l_2;\frac{n_1'f_2c'}{l_1}\right)\mathcal{W}_j^{\pm}\left(\frac{l_1^2l_2}{(c')^3n_1'f_2}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{10.14}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_j^{\pm}$ is defined by (2.20) with $\psi=w_j$. By the support of v, we know w_j is supported in $\big[Y,\sqrt{2}Y\big]$, with

$$Y:=\frac{\sqrt{\delta^3N}}{(n_1'f_2)^2\delta_0^3}\geq 1.$$

By the facts $c'\leq d_2'qg\delta'$ and $q\delta_0gn_1'f_2d_2'\ll C$, the bounds for N and C, i.e., (3.10) and (8.11), and the bounds for q and M, i.e., (7.1), writing $x=\frac{l_1^2l_2}{(c')^3n_1'f_2}$, we have

$$xY=\frac{l_1^2l_2}{(c')^3n_1'f_2}\frac{\sqrt{\delta^3N}}{(n_1'f_2)^2\delta_0^3}\gg\frac{\sqrt{\delta^3N}}{C^3(\delta')^3}\gg\frac{M^3T^{-\varepsilon}}{q^3}\gg T^\varepsilon.$$

Now by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\mathcal{W}_j^{\pm}(x)=x\int_0^\infty w_j(y)\sum_{\ell=1}^{K}\frac{\gamma_\ell}{(xy)^{\ell/3}}e\left(\pm3(xy)^{1/3}\right)dy+O\left(T^{-A}\right),$$

for some large K and A. We will only deal with the term with $\ell=1$, since the others can be handled similarly. By (10.12), we are led to estimate

$$\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^{+}(x):=x^{2/3}\int_0^\infty b(y)e\left(\phi_1(y)\right)dy,\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^{-}(x):=x^{2/3}\int_0^\infty b(y)e\left(\phi_2(y)\right)dy,$$

where

$$b(y) := \frac{1}{y^{3j+\frac{4}{3}+2u}} v\Big(\frac{(n_1'f_2)^4\delta_0^3 y^2}{(\delta')^3 N}\Big)\widehat{k}\Big(\frac{MTqgd_2'\delta'}{2\pi^2\delta_0 f_2 n_1' y}\Big),$$

and

$$\phi_1(y) := -\frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0 f_2 n_1' y} + 3(xy)^{1/3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2(y) := -\frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0 f_2 n_1' y} - 3(xy)^{1/3}.$$

By the support of v, we have

$$\int_0^\infty b(y)e\left(\phi_i(y)\right)dy = \int_Y^{\sqrt{2}Y} b(y)e\left(\phi_i(y)\right)dy, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

For $y \in [Y, \sqrt{2}Y]$, we have

$$|\phi_i^{(r)}(y)| \le C_r T_1/M_1^r, \quad |b^{(s)}(y)| \le C_s U_1/N_1^s,$$

where

$$T_1 = \max\left(\frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{\delta_0 f_2 n_1' Y}, (xY)^{1/3}\right), \quad M_1 = Y, \quad U_1 = \frac{1}{Y^{3j+4/3}}, \quad N_1 = Y.$$

Since we have

$$\phi_1'(y) = \frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0 f_2 n_1' y^2} + x^{1/3}y^{-2/3} \asymp T_1/M_1,$$

by partial integration r times, we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^+(x) \ll x^{2/3}\frac{U_1}{T_1^r} \ll \frac{x^{2/3}}{T_1^2}\frac{U_1}{T_1^{r-2}} \ll T^{-A},$$

for sufficient large r. So $\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^+(x)$ is negligible.

Now we turn to $\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^-(x)$. Since

$$\phi_2'(y) = \frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{4\pi^2\delta_0 f_2 n_1' y^2} - x^{1/3}y^{-2/3},$$

if

$$x \ge \frac{T^6(qgd_2'n_1'f_2\delta_0)^3(n_1'f_2)^2}{10\pi^6(\delta')^3N^2}, \quad \text{or} \quad x \le \frac{T^6(qgd_2'n_1'f_2\delta_0)^3(n_1'f_2)^2}{1100\pi^6(\delta')^3N^2}, \tag{10.15}$$

one has

$$|\phi_2'(y)| \asymp T_1/M_1.$$

By the same argument, we show $\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^-(x)$ is negligible. For the remaining case

$$\frac{T^6(qgd_2'n_1'f_2\delta_0)^3(n_1'f_2)^2}{1100\pi^6(\delta')^3N^2} \le x \le \frac{T^6(qgd_2'n_1'f_2\delta_0)^3(n_1'f_2)^2}{10\pi^6(\delta')^3N^2}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{L_2}{1100} \le l_2 \le \frac{L_2}{10}, \tag{10.16}$$

with

$$L_2 = \frac{T^6(qgd_2'n_1'f_2\delta_0)^3(n_1'f_2)^3(c')^3}{\pi^6(\delta')^3N^2l_1^2},$$

we have

$$|\phi_2''(y)| \gg T_1/M_1^2,$$

for any $y \in [Y, \sqrt{2}Y]$. Note that in this case we have

$$T_1 \asymp \frac{T^2 qgd_2'\delta'}{\delta_0 f_2 n_1' Y}, \quad \text{and} \quad x \asymp T_1^3/Y.$$

Therefore, by the second derivative test [11, Lemma 5.1.3 or Lemma 5.5.6], we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{j,0}^-(x) \ll \frac{x^{2/3}U_1}{(T_1/M_1^2)^{1/2}} \ll \frac{T_1^{3/2}}{Y^{3j+1}} \ll T^3(qgd_2')^{3/2}(n_1'f_2)^{6j+\frac{7}{2}}\delta_0^{9j+\frac{9}{2}}\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}(\delta^3N)^{-\frac{3}{2}j-\frac{5}{4}}. \tag{10.17}$$

Combining (10.10), (10.14), and (10.17), and invoking the trivial bound for the Kloosterman sum in (10.14), one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{3j+1} T^{4j} \delta^{3j+3/4} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta_0\delta'=\delta} \frac{1}{\delta_0^{6j+2}} \sum_{\substack{gn_1'f_2 \ll C/(q\delta_0)\\ (gn_1'f_2, q\delta)=1\\ (gn_1', f_2)=1, \mu^2(gn_1')=1}} \frac{g^{3j-1}}{f_2^{3j+2}(n_1')^{3j+3}} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{d_2' \ll C/(q\delta_0 gn_1'f_2)\\ (d_2', qgn_1'f_2\delta)=1}} \frac{(d_2')^{3j}}{d_2'} \sum_{b_1(d_2')} (b_1, d_2') \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b_2(q)} c' \sum_{l_1 | c' n_1' f_2} \sum_{l_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{|A(l_2, l_1)|}{l_1 l_2} \\ &\times \frac{n_1' f_2 c'}{l_1} T^3 (qgd_2')^{3/2} (n_1' f_2)^{6j+\frac{7}{2}} \delta_0^{9j+\frac{9}{2}} \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} (\delta^3 N)^{-\frac{3}{2}j-\frac{5}{4}} \\ &\ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{3j+9/2} T^{4j+3} N^{-3j/2-5/4} \delta^{-3j/2+1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{gn_1'f_2\delta_0 d_2' \ll C/q} \frac{(gn_1'f_2\delta_0 d_2')^{3j+7/2}}{g f_2 (n_1')^2 \delta_0} \sum_{l_1 | c' n_1' f_2} \frac{1}{l_1^2} \sum_{l_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{|A(l_2, l_1)|}{l_2}.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} C^{3j+9/2} T^{4j+3} N^{-3j/2-5/4} \delta^{-3j/2+1/2}.$$

And by (8.11) and (3.10), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} \delta^{11/4} N T^{j-3/2} M^{-3j-9/2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^3 T^{j+3/2} M^{-3j-9/2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^3, \tag{10.18}$$

provided $T^{1/3+\varepsilon} \leq M \leq T^{1/2}$. This proves Proposition 7.1, and hence, Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. One can use Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 directly to give a hybrid subconvexity bound with $\theta = 1/70$. To get a better bound, that is, to prove Theorem 1.1 with $\theta = (35 - \sqrt{1057})/56$, which we fix from now on, we will modify the proof of Theorem 1.3. At first, note that if $q \geq T^{\theta/(1/4-\theta)} = T^{(\sqrt{1057}-23)/44}$, then

$$q^{5/4} T^{3/2} \leq (qT)^{3/2-\theta}.$$

Hence in this case, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2. Now we assume

$$q \leq T^{\theta/(1/4-\theta)} = T^{(\sqrt{1057}-23)/44} < T^{1/4}. \tag{10.19}$$

As in §7, we only need to prove

$$\sum_{\substack{u_j \in \mathcal{B}^*(q)\\ T-M \leq t_j \leq T+M}} L(1/2, \phi \times u_j \times \chi) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T-M}^{T+M} |L(1/2+it, \phi \times \chi)|^2 dt \ll_{\phi,\varepsilon} q^{3/2-\theta} T M (qT)^{\varepsilon},$$

provided

$$T^{1/2-\theta} \ll M \ll T^{1/2}. \tag{10.20}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 7.1, we only need to bound (8.9), (9.4), (9.5), (9.6), and (10.18) under our new assumptions (10.19) and (10.20). It's easy to see that the bound in (8.9) will be $q^{1/2-\theta} T M (qT)^{\varepsilon}$ now. Moreover, (9.4), (9.5), and (9.6) are easy to handle too. Now we consider (10.18). By (10.20), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j^{\dagger,2} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^3 T^{j+\frac{3}{2}} M^{-3j-\frac{9}{2}} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^3 T^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{-\frac{9}{2}} \ll (qT)^{\varepsilon} q^{\frac{1}{2}-\theta} q^{\frac{5}{2}+\theta} T^{\frac{9}{2}\theta-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

So we want $q \leq T^{(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{9}{2}\theta)/(\frac{5}{2}+\theta)}$, which coincides with (10.19) with our choice of θ. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professors Dorian Goldfeld, Jianya Liu, and Wei Zhang for their valuable advice and constant encouragement. He also wishes to thank Professor Matthew Young for explaining some details in his paper [30].

The author is grateful to the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for supporting his studies at Columbia University. He also wants to thank the Department of Mathematics at Columbia University

for its hospitality. Project is partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No. ZR2014AQ002).

References

[1] V. Blomer. Subconvexity for twisted L-functions on GL(3). *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(5):1385–1421, 2012.

[2] V. Blomer, R. Khan, and M. Young. Distribution of mass of holomorphic cusp forms. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(14):2609–2644, 2013.

[3] D. A. Burgess. On character sums and L-series. II. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 13:524–536, 1963.

[4] J. B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec. The cubic moment of central values of automorphic L-functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(3):1175–1216, 2000.

[5] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L-functions. II. *Invent. Math.*, 115(2):219–239, 1994.

[6] P. X. Gallagher. A large sieve density estimate near $\sigma = 1$. *Invent. Math.*, 11:329–339, 1970.

[7] D. Goldfeld. *Automorphic forms and L-functions for the group* $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, volume 99 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. With an appendix by Kevin A. Broughan.

[8] D. Goldfeld and X. Li. Voronoi formulas on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 86295, 25, 2006.

[9] D. R. Heath-Brown. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L-functions. *Invent. Math.*, 47(2):149–170, 1978.

[10] D. R. Heath-Brown. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L-functions. II. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 31(122):157–167, 1980.

[11] M. N. Huxley. *Area, lattice points, and exponential sums*, volume 13 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996. Oxford Science Publications.

[12] H. Iwaniec. Small eigenvalues of Laplacian for $\Gamma_0(N)$. *Acta Arith.*, 56(1):65–82, 1990.

[13] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53. American Mathematical Society Providence, 2004.

[14] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam. Rankin–Selberg L-functions in the level aspect. *Duke Math. J.*, 114(1):123–191, 2002.

[15] E. M. Lapid. On the nonnegativity of Rankin–Selberg L-functions at the center of symmetry. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2):65–75, 2003.

[16] Y.-K. Lau, J. Liu, and Y. Ye. A new bound $k^{2/3+\epsilon}$ for Rankin–Selberg L-functions for Hecke congruence subgroups. *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.*, pages Art. ID 35090, 78, 2006.

[17] X. Li. The central value of the Rankin–Selberg L-functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(5):1660–1695, 2009.

[18] X. Li. Bounds for $\mathrm{GL}(3) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ L-functions and $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ L-functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(1):301–336, 2011.

[19] M. McKee, H. Sun, and Y. Ye. Improved subconvexity bounds for $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ and $GL(3)$ L-functions by weighted stationary phase. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.01219*, 2015.

[20] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh. The subconvexity problem for GL_2. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (111):171–271, 2010.

[21] S. D. Miller and W. Schmid. Automorphic distributions, L-functions, and Voronoi summation for GL(3). *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(2):423–488, 2006.

[22] R. Munshi. Bounds for twisted symmetric square L-functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 682:65–88, 2013.

[23] R. Munshi. Bounds for twisted symmetric square L-functions—III. *Adv. Math.*, 235:74–91, 2013.

[24] R. Munshi. The circle method and bounds for L-functions—II: Subconvexity for twists of GL(3) L-functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 137(3):791–812, 2015.

[25] R. Munshi. The circle method and bounds for L-functions—III: t-aspect subconvexity for $GL(3)$ L-functions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(4):913–938, 2015.

[26] R. Munshi. The circle method and bounds for L-functions—IV: subconvexity for twists of GL(3) L-functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(2):617–672, 2015.

[27] Z. Peng. *Zeros and central values of automorphic L-functions*. 2001.

[28] P. Sarnak. Estimates for Rankin–Selberg L-functions and quantum unique ergodicity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 184(2):419–453, 2001.

[29] H. Weyl. To abschäestimation of $\zeta(1 + it)$. *Mathematical Journal*, 10(1):88–101, 1921.

[30] M. Young. Weyl-type hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted L-functions and heegner points on shrinking sets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.5457*, 2014.

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China
E-mail address: brhuang@mail.sdu.edu.cn

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
E-mail address: brhuang@math.columbia.edu